## SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER O	OF A MEMBER	)
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,		
		)
		)
		)
GIL SHAW,		)
Bar No. 009290		)
		)
	RESPONDENT.	)
		)

Supreme Court No. SB-10-0012-D

Disciplinary Commission No. 08-1566, 08-1942, 09-0301

## JUDGMENT AND ORDER

## FILED 03/03/2010

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **GIL SHAW**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of six months, effective thirty days from the date of this Judgment and Order, for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **GIL SHAW** shall be placed on probation for a period of one year upon reinstatement. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1. The one year probation period shall commence the date of the Order of Reinstatement.
- 2. Within thirty days of reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the MAP director and schedule an assessment. Respondent shall thereafter enter into a MAP contract based on recommendations made by the MAP director or designee. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the MAP contract which shall be incorporated herein by reference. Respondent shall be responsible for any costs associated with MAP.
- 3. Within thirty days of reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the LOMAP director and schedule a LOMAP audit. The LOMAP director or designee shall conduct the audit within sixty days thereafter. Respondent shall enter into a LOMAP contract based on the recommendations made by the LOMAP director or designee. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the LOMAP contract which shall be incorporated herein by reference. Respondent shall be responsible for any costs associated with LOMAP.
- 4. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.
- 5. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Noncompliance with the imposing entity pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct.. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a Hearing Officer to conduct

a hearing at the earliest practicable date, but in no event later than thirty days after receipt of notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove noncompliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **GIL SHAW** shall pay restitution in the following amounts to the following individuals:

William and Vicki Hilliker:	<b>\$10,000.00</b> to be paid within one year from the date of this
	Judgment and Order.
	<b>\$3091.00</b> if the defendants in Yavapai County Superior
	Court in Hilliker v. Foster Case No. CV 20030563,
	execute the judgment against the Hillikers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 72(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of his clients, within ten days from the date hereof, of his inability to represent them and that he should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b).

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2010.

RACHELLE M. RESNICK Clerk of the Court

TO:
Gil Shaw, Respondent
Nancy A. Greenlee, Respondent's Counsel
Edward W. Parker, Bar Counsel
Hon. Jonathan H. Schwartz, Hearing Officer 6S
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona
Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Attn: Don Lewis
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona Attn: Beth Stephenson
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis