COURT REVENUE Appellate and Superior Courts Narrative Summary

The revenue data provided here is for the Appellate and Superior Court of Arizona. The figures were compiled from probation fee reports and the quarterly revenue surveys distributed by the Supreme Court during FY 2001. Major revenue categories shown represent monies collected from four sources:

FINES, SANCTIONS, FORFEITURES: Revenue from monetary penalties assessed in criminal and juvenile matters, and bond monies that were forfeited;

SURCHARGES: Revenue from monetary assessments authorized by statute above and beyond criminal penalties and certain civil and other fees;

FEES: Revenue from collectible fees for services of the court and chargeable aspects of case processing;

OTHER REVENUE: Revenue from sources not otherwise specified.

Total revenue collected by the Supreme Court increased from \$3,957,961 in FY 2000 to \$4,348,379 in FY 2001, an increase of 9.7%. The majority of this revenue is Defensive Driving and JCEF Diversion fees sent directly to the Administrative Office of the Courts. The revenue for the Court of Appeals (both divisions) decreased from \$253,670 in FY 2000 to \$234,857 in FY 2001, a decline of 7.4%. Superior Court revenue (including probation) increased from \$45,038,135 in FY 2000 to \$50,817,044 in FY 2001, an increase of 12.8%.

On the Superior Court table, Maricopa County accounts for 55.9% of all revenue, followed by Pima County with 17.4%. Fees make up the largest segment of Superior Court revenue, a total of \$38,883,517, or 76.5%. The Fines category is second with \$9,846,211, or 19.4%.

The Annual Trust Money Collection Summary shows that the Arizona Superior Court processed \$179,918,587 in "pass-through" trust monies in FY 2001, the majority (84.1%) being other trust payments. Again, most trust money collections were in Maricopa County (82.9%), followed by Pima County (10.4%).

Some of the FY 2000 figures may not correspond to figures published in last year's Data Report due to corrected information received subsequent to publication of the Report.

ANNUAL REVENUE SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 2001

SUPREME COURT

	FINES/ FORFEITURES	SURCHARGES	FEES	OTHER	TOTAL	FISCAL YEAR 2000 TOTAL
SUPREME COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE [1]			\$ 31,546 4,316,833	\$ 0 \$ 0	31,546 4,316,833	
TOTAL			\$ 4,348,379	\$ 0 5	\$ 4,348,379	\$ 3,957,961

^[1] REVENUE SHOWN FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS INCLUDES JCEF DIVERSION AND DEFENSIVE DRIVING FEES SENT DIRECTLY FROM THE DRIVING SCHOOLS.

COURT OF APPEALS

	FINES/ FORFEITURES	SURCHARGES	FEES	OTHER	1	TOTAL	SCAL YEAR
DIVISION ONE DIVISION TWO			\$ 174,141 60,716	\$ 0	 \$ 	174,141 60,716	187,899 65,771
TOTAL			\$ 234,857	\$ 0	 \$ 	234,857	\$ 253,670

17

ANNUAL REVENUE SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 2001

SUPERIOR COURT (INCLUDING PROBATION)

COLDEN	FINES/					ETCCAL VEND
COUNTY	FORFEITURES	SURCHARGES	FEES	OTHER	TOTAL	FISCAL YEAR
APACHE COCHISE COCONINO GILA GRAHAM GREENLEE MARICOPA MOHAVE NAVAJO PIMA PINAL SANTA CRUZ YAVAPAI YUMA LA PAZ	\$ 23,100 576,134 175,291 304,413 56,297 20,067 6,053,841 250,101 132,715 952,204 391,750 73,646 459,729 315,113 61,810	\$ 9,216 18,410 85,838 67,108 20,400 7,214 729,069 106,696 31,044 111,948 88,603 28,285 129,295 93,628 13,956		\$ 1,169 52,112 19,096 1,072 0 812 232,163 16,504 0 157,955 36,241 4,286 0 22,829 2,367	\$ 239,480 1,390,078	\$ 195,675 1,147,415 1,026,431 682,665 247,399 73,438 25,294,096 1,849,437 672,917 8,031,294 1,491,210 308,408
TOTAL	\$ 9,846,211	\$ 1,540,710	\$38,883,517 \$	546,606	\$ 50,817,044	

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS PUBLICATION.

∞

ANNUAL TRUST MONEY COLLECTION SUMMARY [1] FISCAL YEAR 2001

SUPERIOR COURT

	BAIL		CHILD S	UPPORT				FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BONDS	RESTITUTION	NON IV-D [2]	IV	-D [2]	OTHER	TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
APACHE	 \$ 67,135	\$ 69,936	\$ 0	\$	0	s o		Å 115 0cc
COCHISE	543,614	103,546	0	. Y	0		\$ 137,071	
COCONINO	520,369	252,007	0		0	195,838	842,998	
GILA	166,767	151,770	0		0	0	772,376	727,010
GRAHAM	43,950	97,579	0		-	44,125	362,662	351,333
GREENLEE	15,000	24,356	0		0	57,464	198,993	105,553
MARICOPA	13,000		0		665	0	40,021	39,581
MOHAVE [1]	135,119	8,406,997	0		0	140,663,823	149,070,820	77,327,480
NAVAJO		437,701	0		0	1,076,194	1,649,014	1,609,905
PIMA	115,297	109,313	0		0	129,872	354,482	574,455
PINAL	10,282,228	2,321,859	0		34,926	5,754,290	18,693,303	11,494,590
	1,613,473	552,884	0		73,414	1,924,013	4,163,784	590,525
SANTA CRUZ	88,140	184,948	0		0	301,888	574,976	380,009
YAVAPAI	456,672	443,234	. 0		0	840,387	1,740,293	1,231,790
YUMA	297,006	348,485	0		0	301,949	947,440	801,290
LA PAZ	310,135	60,219	0		0	0	370,354	823,764
TOTAL	\$14,654,905	\$13,564,834	\$ 0	\$ 4	09,005	\$151,289,843	 \$179,918,587	\$ 98,538,435

^[1] SOME ZEROES ON THIS TABLE APPEAR BECAUSE SOME JURISDICTIONS CANNOT REPORT EACH TRUST ACCOUNT COLLECTION SEPARATELY.

^[2] THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CENTRAL SUPPORT PAYMENT CLEARINGHOUSE TO RECEIVE, DISBURSE AND MONITOR SUPPORT PAYMENTS
PURSUANT TO TITLE IV-D OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, WHICH STARTED TO TAKE EFFECT IN FY 98, HAS MOVED ALL CHILD SUPPORT
PAYMENTS FROM THE COURTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY.

COURT EXPENDITURES Appellate and Superior Courts Narrative Summary

The expenditure information provided here is for the appellate courts, Superior Court, and probation departments of Arizona. These data were compiled from Supreme Court financial records, program fund revertment reports, and annual expenditure surveys submitted to the Supreme Court for FY 2001. Major expenditure categories shown represent monies expended from five sources:

PRIMARY BUDGET: Expenditures of appropriated funds from the court's primary funding source, either the state (Supreme Court and Court of Appeals) or the county (Superior Courts and probation)--categories are kept as general as possible because line-item definitions for the state and counties vary widely;

STATE FUNDS: Expenditures of state program monies begun or renewed by the Arizona legislature and distributed to the courts;

FEDERAL FUNDS: Expenditures of federal program monies begun or renewed by the U.S. Congress and distributed to the courts (often via state agencies);

PRIVATE FUNDS: Expenditures of additional grants from other sources;

LOCAL FUNDS: Examples are expenditures from collections authorized by statute to reimburse all or part of the expenses of probation, automation, and other court services.

Total expenditures in the Supreme Court increased from \$37,415,116 in FY 2000 to \$39,527,939, an increase of 5.6%. Most of these are costs of the Administrative Office of the Courts associated with the administration of Arizona's court system. The Court of Appeals (both divisions) had a 3.0% increase in expenditures, from \$10,188,898 in FY 2000 to \$10,494,681 in FY 2001.

Total expenditures in the Superior Court (including administration and the clerk's office) increased from \$121,981,348 last fiscal year to \$134,963,096 in FY 2001, up 10.6%. Primary budget (county) expenditures of \$115,184,901 account for 85.3% of the total expenditures.

Expenditures in Superior Court probation (including adult, juvenile and combined departments, and juvenile detention) increased from \$184,717,487 in FY 2000 to \$190,295,796 in FY 2001, an increase of 3.0%. State funds expenditures of \$102,956,823 account for over half (54.1%) of the total probation expenditures.

Some of the FY 2000 figures may not correspond to figures published in last year's Data Report due to corrected information received subsequent to publication of the Report.

SUPREME COURT

·	PRIMARY BUDGET	STATE FUNDS [1]	FEDERAL FUNDS	PRIVATE FUNDS		FISCAL YEAR
SUPREME COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	\$ 3,676,473 7,278,873	\$ 0 26,735,030	\$ 0 1,537,730	\$ 0 299,833	 \$ 3,676,473 35,851,466 	
TOTAL	 \$10,955,346 	\$26,735,030	\$ 1,537,730	\$ 299,833	 \$ 39,527,939 	\$ 37,415,116

COURT OF APPEALS

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE		FISCAL YEAR
	BUDGET	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
DIVISION ONE	 \$ 7,118,045	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	 \$ 7,118,045	 \$ 6,947,113
DIVISION TWO	3,376,636	0	0	0	3,376,636	3,241,785
TOTAL	\$10,494,681	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 10,494,681	 \$ 10,188,898

7

SUPERIOR COURT TOTAL (INCLUDES SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION AND SUPERIOR COURT CLERK)

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	*****	PRIVATE	LOCAL	1	FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]	FUNDS[2]		FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 1,017,807	\$ 148,576	\$ 4,650	\$	0	\$ 15,214	\$ 1,186,247	\$ 1,045,651
COCHISE	2,709,929	126,343	0		0	236,778		! ' ' '
COCONINO	2,247,288	666,738	0		0	300,714	!	
GILA	2,826,872	252,062	0		0	71,364		
GRAHAM	695,535	155,434	0		0	96,112		1
GREENLEE	383,234	119,084	0		0	17,536	519,854	•
MARICOPA	61,809,387	2,919,423	484,721		0	7,210,937	:	!
MOHAVE	3,301,292	599,044	0		0	632,395	4,532,731	1
OLAVAIO	2,066,499	64,628	. 0		0	195,041	2,326,168	•
PIMA	23,148,125	307,608	0		0	2,671,624		•
PINAL	5,664,325	131,254	256,467		0	213,268	:	1
SANTA CRUZ	1,392,339	36,337	0		0	70,185	1,498,861	
YAVAPAI	3,606,626	747,716	0		0	381,665	4,736,007	:
AMUY	3,767,299	242,972	0		0	282,579	4,292,850	:
LA PAZ	548,344	98,864	0		0	20,862	668,070	
								,
TOTAL	\$115,184,901	\$ 6,616,083	\$ 745,838	\$	0	\$ 12,416,274	\$134,963,096	\$121,981,348
	İ							

^[1] STATE PROGRAM DATA INCLUDE DRUG ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC DEFENDER TRAINING FUND EXPENDITURES FOR SOME COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS AND OTHER INDIGENT DEFENSE PROGRAMS. THEY ALSO INCLUDE ESTIMATES OF THE STATE JUDICIAL SALARY SUPPORT ERE EXPENDITURES IN EACH COUNTY. IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2001 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

^[2] FEDERAL FUNDS DATA INCLUDE CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT REIMBURSEMENTS PASSED THROUGH THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY.

SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION

1 7777		FEDERAL		PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
BUDGET	FUNDS[1]	FUNDS		FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
\$ 592,670	\$ 134,473	\$ 0	\$	0	s ol	\$ 727 143	\$ 690,051
1,714,431	126,343	0	•	0			•
1,486,518	666,738	0		0		• • •	, , ,
2,091,235		0		0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
430,228		0		. 0			· · · · · ·
252,862	•	0		0			551,260
39,558,473	· ·	-		0			319,167
<u> :</u>		ŭ		0			
į		0		0			3,385,402
	,	0		-	•		1,840,432
		•		0	:		16,785,228
	•	· ·		0		,	3,949,233
		•		0			
	•			0			2,715,810
		ū		0			1,880,655
202,110	90,004	U		Ü	20,862	411,899	415,117
\$ 76,073,068 \$	6,601,980	.	Ś	0 \$	8 753 627	\$ 91 429 675	¢ 02 4E1 227
	1,714,431 1,486,518 2,091,235 430,228 252,862 39,558,473 2,414,669 1,457,840 15,918,743 3,859,230 948,078 2,226,101 2,829,817 292,173	1,714,431 126,343 1,486,518 666,738 2,091,235 252,062 430,228 155,434 252,862 119,084 39,558,473 2,919,423 2,414,669 599,044 1,457,840 64,628 15,918,743 307,608 3,859,230 131,254 948,078 36,337 2,226,101 747,716 2,829,817 242,972 292,173 98,864	1,714,431 126,343 0 1,486,518 666,738 0 2,091,235 252,062 0 430,228 155,434 0 252,862 119,084 0 39,558,473 2,919,423 0 2,414,669 599,044 0 1,457,840 64,628 0 15,918,743 307,608 0 3,859,230 131,254 0 948,078 36,337 0 2,226,101 747,716 0 2,829,817 242,972 0 292,173 98,864 0	1,714,431 126,343 0 1,486,518 666,738 0 2,091,235 252,062 0 430,228 155,434 0 252,862 119,084 0 39,558,473 2,919,423 0 2,414,669 599,044 0 1,457,840 64,628 0 15,918,743 307,608 0 3,859,230 131,254 0 948,078 36,337 0 2,226,101 747,716 0 2,829,817 242,972 0 292,173 98,864 0	1,714,431 126,343 0 0 1,486,518 666,738 0 0 2,091,235 252,062 0 0 430,228 155,434 0 0 252,862 119,084 0 0 39,558,473 2,919,423 0 0 2,414,669 599,044 0 0 1,457,840 64,628 0 0 15,918,743 307,608 0 0 3,859,230 131,254 0 0 948,078 36,337 0 0 2,226,101 747,716 0 0 2,829,817 242,972 0 0 292,173 98,864 0 0	1,714,431 126,343 0 0 223,940 1,486,518 666,738 0 0 268,387 2,091,235 252,062 0 0 46,283 430,228 155,434 0 0 17,536 39,558,473 2,919,423 0 0 5,638,712 2,414,669 599,044 0 0 616,281 1,457,840 64,628 0 0 194,524 15,918,743 307,608 0 0 1,058,143 3,859,230 131,254 0 0 159,953 948,078 36,337 0 0 32,591 2,226,101 747,716 0 0 381,665 2,829,817 242,972 0 0 76,475 292,173 98,864 0 0 20,862	1,714,431

^[1] STATE PROGRAM DATA INCLUDE DRUG ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC DEFENDER TRAINING FUND EXPENDITURES FOR SOME COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS AND OTHER INDIGENT DEFENSE PROGRAMS. THEY ALSO INCLUDE ESTIMATES OF THE STATE JUDICIAL SALARY SUPPORT ERE EXPENDITURES IN EACH COUNTY. IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2001 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	E LOCAI		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS	FUNDS[1]	FUNDS	FUND:	S TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 425,137	\$ 14,103	\$ 4,650	\$ () \$ 15,21	4 \$ 459,104	\$ 355,600
COCHISE	995,498	0	0	(12,83	8 1,008,336	941,574
COCONINO	760,770	0	0	(32,32	7 793,097	721,666
GILA	735,637	0	0	(25,08	1 760,718	775,904
GRAHAM	265,307	0	0	(77,83	7 343,144	252,082
GREENLEE	130,372	0	0	()	0 130,372	126,788
MARICOPA	22,250,914	0	484,721	(1,572,22	5 24,307,860	21,177,906
MOHAVE	886,623	0	0	(16,11	4 902,737	859,690
OLAVAIO	608,659	0	0	(51	7 609,176	610,459
PIMA	7,229,382	0	0		1,613,48	1 8,842,863	7,844,915
PINAL	1,805,095	0	256,467	(53,31	5 2,114,877	1,733,820
SANTA CRUZ	444,261	0	0	(37,59	481,855	448,097
YAVAPAI	1,380,525	0	0	()	0 1,380,525	1,298,449
YUMA	937,482	0	0	(206,10	4 1,143,586	1,117,557
LA PAZ	256,171	0	0	(0	0 256,171	265,614
TOTAL	\$ 39,111,833	\$ 14,103	\$ 745,838	\$	3,662,64	7 \$ 43,534,421	\$ 38,530,121
***************************************						_	1

^[1] FEDERAL FUNDS DATA INCLUDE CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT REIMBURSEMENTS PASSED THROUGH THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY.

SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION TOTAL (INCLUDES ADULT, JUVENILE, AND COMBINED DEPARTMENTS AND JUVENILE DETENTION)

COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]						
APACHE		LOMPOIT	FUNDS		PRIVATE FUNDS	LOCAL FUNDS	!	FISCAL YEAR
APACHE					101125	T ONDS	I	2000 TOTAL
	\$ 622,832	\$ 1,203,718	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 91,794	\$ 1,918,344	 \$ 1,966,229
COCHISE	1,899,751	3,166,045	0	·	0	147,479		! ' '
COCONINO	2,295,007	3,339,284	0		0	666,044	! ' '	
GILA	1,284,281	1,286,926	0		0	424,542	! ' '	
GRAHAM	787,665	938,976	0		0	90,130	!	! ' '
GREENLEE	203,260	483,924	0		0	20,257		
MARICOPA	34,331,996	53,893,695	0		0	8,370,989	!	:
MOHAVE	1,761,825	3,316,073	0		0	215,339	!	,
NAVAJO	1,410,594	2,178,134	0		0	237,356		
PIMA	20,378,814	18,681,513	0		0	1,954,739	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
PINAL	2,370,550	3,417,798	0		0	243,288		
SANTA CRUZ	802,328	1,300,486	0		0	25,747		!
YAVAPAI	2,406,406	3,897,479	0		0	728,842		
YUMA	2,835,725	5,484,232	0		0	432,220		
LA PAZ	183,173	368,540	0		0	116,000		!
	========	300,310	O		O	110,000	667,713	617,436
TOTAL	\$ 73,574,207	\$102,956,823	0	\$. 0	\$ 13,764,766	 \$190,295,796	 \$184,717,487

ADULT PROBATION

COUNTY	PRIMARY BUDGET	STATE FUNDS [1]	FEDERAL FUNDS	PRIVATE FUNDS		LOCAL FUNDS	TOTAL	FISCAL YEAR 2000 TOTAL
APACHE COCHISE COCONINO MARICOPA PIMA PINAL YAVAPAI YUMA	\$ 273,044 709,665 878,476 11,618,903 4,543,928 512,922 1,060,283 1,182,669	\$ 504,811 1,456,430 1,826,204 32,872,085 9,940,103 1,557,219 2,212,050 2,716,162	\$ 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$	75,132 108,528 424,650 7,746,313 880,525 200,453 451,844 328,361	2,274,623 3,129,330 50,237,301 15,364,556 2,270,594	2,095,292
TOTAL	\$ 20,779,890	\$ 53,085,064	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 8	3,215,806	\$ 82,080,760	\$ 80,858,070

JUVENILE COURT/PROBATION

	PRIMARY	STATE		FEDERAL	PRIVA	ΓE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]		FUNDS	FUN	DS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 244,035	\$ 698,907	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 16,662	 \$ 959,604	\$ 920,818
COCHISE	559,805	1,709,615	•	0		0	38,951		! "
COCONINO	634,114	1,513,080		0		0	199,353	!	
MARICOPA	10,575,294	21,021,610		0		0	2,137,502	33,734,406	
PIMA	5,740,052	8,741,410		0		0	1,074,214	15,555,676	12,707,623
PINAL	734,799	1,860,579		0		0	42,835	2,638,213	2,412,331
YAVAPAI	511,348	1,685,429		0		0	276,998	2,473,775	1,990,925
AMUY	635,891	2,768,070		0		0	103,859	3,507,820	3,081,132
TOTAL	\$ 19,635,338	\$ 39,998,700	\$	0 \$		0 \$	3,890,374	\$ 63,524,412	 \$ 62,444,201

COMBINED PROBATION

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL	1	FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
GILA GRAHAM GREENLEE	\$ 635,230 49,171 12,761	\$ 1,286,926 \$ 938,976 483,924	0 \$ 0 0	0	\$ 424,542 \$ 90,130	1,078,277	1,245,837
MOHAVE NAVAJO	1,127,011 820,973	3,316,073 2,178,134	0	0 0	20,257 215,339 216,653	516,942 4,658,423 3,215,760	534,018 4,657,359 2,933,001
SANTA CRUZ LA PAZ	311,350 154,193	1,300,486 368,540	0	0 0	25,747 116,000	1,637,583 638,733	1,685,348 602,376
TOTAL	 \$ 3,110,689 	\$ 9,873,059 \$	0 \$	0 \$	1,108,668	14,092,416	\$ 14,527,742

JUVENILE DETENTION

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2000 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 105,753 \$	0 \$	0 \$	0 \$	0	\$ 105,753	\$ 193,786
COCHISE	630,281	0	0	0	0	630,281	584,626
COCONINO	782,417	0	0	0	42,041	824,458	
GILA	649,051	0	0	0	0	649,051	
GRAHAM	738,494	0	0	0	0	738,494	
GREENLEE	190,499	0	0	0	ol	190,499	
MARICOPA	12,137,799	0	0	0	487,174	12,624,973	
MOHAVE	634,814	0	0	0	· ol	634,814	
NAVAJO	589,621	0	0	0	20,703	610,324	
AMIG	10,094,834	0	0	0	ol	10,094,834	•
PINAL	1,122,829	0	0	0	ol	1,122,829	
SANTA CRUZ	490,978	0	0	0	ol	490,978	
YAVAPAI	834,775	0	0	0	ol	834,775	
AMUY	1,017,165	0	0	0	0	1,017,165	,
LA PAZ	28,980	0	0	0	0	28,980	
40					İ.		
TOTAL	\$ 30,048,290 \$	0 \$	0 \$	0 \$	 549,918	 30.598.208	\$ 26,887,474
		,	- •	- 1			

COURT PERSONNEL Appellate and Superior Courts Narrative Summary

This summary shows all appellate court, superior court and adult/juvenile probation positions as of June 30, 2001, including positions funded from both primary and non-primary budget sources. The information was reported by the individual courts in response to the Supreme Court personnel survey distributed in July 2001.

The total number of persons working full-time in the Supreme Court decreased from 386 last fiscal year to 380 in FY 2001. Most of the full-time employees in the Supreme Court work for the Administrative Office of the Courts (340 of the 380). Full-time employees in the Court of Appeals (both divisions) decreased by seven positions, from 135 in FY 2000 to 128 in FY 2001. Full-time employees in Superior Court (including the clerk's office) increased from 2,456 to 2,536 this fiscal year, an increase of 3.3%. Superior Court Probation (comprising adult, juvenile, and combined departments) full-time employees increased from 3,717 in FY 2000 to 3,830 in FY 2001, an increase of 3.0%.

The Supreme Court had the services of 410 regular volunteers in FY 2001. The Superior Court had the services of 286 regular volunteers, while Superior Court Probation utilized the services of 536 regular volunteers. These people include professionals and non-professionals who serve in many different capacities.

Additionally, the Supreme Court utilized 30,025 hours of temporary personnel assistance. The Superior Court utilized 119,976 hours of temporary personnel assistance, and the Superior Court Probation utilized 17,899 hours of temporary personnel assistance.

Some of the FY 2000 figures may not correspond to figures published in last year's Data Report due to corrected information received subsequent to publication of the Report.

ABBREVIATIONS

(Personnel)

Adm	Probation/Detention Administrative
Adm Clk	Administrative Deputy Clerk
Bliff	Bailiff/Court Security
Clk Crt	Clerk of the Court
Constb	Constable
CPO JCD	Chief Probation Officer/Juvenile Court Director
Crt Adm	Court Administrator
Crt Rep	Court Reporter/Steno/Transcriber
Dep Clk	Deputy Clerk
Dtn Adm	Detention Administrator
Dtn Edu	Detention Educator
Dtn Off	Detention Officer
Dtn Spv	Detention Supervisor
Dtn Sup	Detention Support Staff
Fld Off	Field Case-Carrying Probation Officer
Gen Sup	Court/Clerk General Support
Jud	Judge/Justice/Magistrate
Jud Sec	Judicial Secretary
Leg	Legal Research/Assistance
Oth Adm	Other Court Administrative
Oth Off	Other Probation officer
Oth Mag	Other Magisterial (e.g., Commissioner, Hearing Officer)
Oth Sur	Other Surveillance Off.(not assigned to direct supervision)
PPO	Pre-Sentence Probation Officer
Prg Sup	Program Support Staff
Tch Sup	Technical Support
Spv	Probation/Detention Supervisor
Sup Stf	Support Staff
Sur Off	Surveillance Officer
Trt Edu	Treatment/Education Staff

SUPREME COURT

																PA	RT		TE MILLS	
]	FULL	TIME	3						TOTAL	TI	ME	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
		OTH						OTH									NON	PART		TOTAL
	JUD	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
SUPREME COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	 5 0	0	0 1	17 3	0 10	4 0	_	1 239		1	1	11 0	0 61	0 26	 40 340	0	9 24	9	42 344	11 22
TOTAL	5	0	1	20	10	4	0	240		1	1	11	61	26	380	0	33	33	386	33
TOTAL VOLU TOTAL HOUR								[ZAT]	ON:		3	41			000: 000: 4	430 430 0,688	-			

COURT OF APPEALS

																	P	ART	1		
								FULL	TIME	3						TOTAL	T	ME_	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
			OTH	CRT		\mathtt{BL}	JUD	CRT	OTH	CON	CLK	ADM	DEP	TCH	GEN	FULL		NON	PART		TOTAL
		JUD	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
DIVISION ONE		15	0	0	40	0	15	0	4		1	0	18	1	0	94	0	5	 5	100	10
DIVISION TWO		6	0	0	14	0	1	0	2		1	4	6	0	0	34	0	3	3	35	1
TOTAL		21	0	0	54	0	16	0	6		2	4	24	1	0	128	0	8	8	135	11
	TOTAL VOLUM	TEER	PERS	SONNI	EL U	CILI	ZATI	: NC						0 [E	FY 2	,' 000:		')]	l ——— I		
	TOTAL HOURS	OF :	rempo	DRARY	Y PEI	RSONI	NEL U	UTIL:	IZATI	ON:				0 [F	Y 2	000:	64	<u>[</u>]			

SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION TOTAL (INCLUDES ADULT, JUVENILE, AND COMBINED PROBATION DEPARTMENTS)

																		P	ART			
									TIME								TOTAL	T	IME	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
COLDINIZ	CPO			FLD			SUR				SUP					DTN	FULL			PART		
COUNTY	JCD	SPV	ADM	OFF	PPO	OFF	OFF	SUR	EDU	SUP	STF	SPV	ADM	OFF	EDU	SUP	TIME	PRB	DTN	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE	2	1	4	11	3	2	3	0	4	0	9	1	0	0	0	0	10		0		2.0	_
COCHISE	2	6	9	31	5	6	4	0	3	6	15	2	1	16	2	0	40	2	0	2	39	3
COCONINO	2	6	13	27	4	13	9	1	4	2	16	1	0	15	0	3	108	6	11	17	96	19
GILA	1 1	3	3	17	0	6	3	0	4	3	11	0	2	23	0	0	116	12	6	18	115	14
GRAHAM	1	0	2	10	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	23	0	0	76	14	5	19	65	11
GREENLEE	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	1	19	0
LA PAZ	1 1	0	2	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	1 17	4	0	4	9	5
MARICOPA	2	100	108	777	122	140	43	4	98	25	280	11	•	183	0	32	1,926	100	110	1 21A	15	202 T
MOHAVE	1	10	4	38	4	12	13	0	0	4	21	1	0	19	0	0	127	0	1		1,891	202
NAVAJO	1	2	2	22	5	5	4	0	0	1	11	3	2	12	0	0	70	9	10		123 73	1 16
PIMA	2	39	30	165	68	31	74	12	16	14	181	7		147	0	8	797	39	44	83	761	74
PINAL	2	3	9	34	8	17	9	1	1	6	17	4	1	17	0	2	131	19	5	24	125	25
SANTA CRUZ	1	2	5	13	2	0	4	0	1	1	6	0	1	11	0	0	47	1	0	1	48	25
YAVAPAI	2	10	4	49	5	12	10	2	1	2	28	0	0	18	0	2	145	1	0	1	142	1
YUMA	2	9	15	40	11	17	19	2	14	13	34	3	1	17	4	0	201	12	0	12	196	13
												_		_ ′	_	J	201				100	1.0

TOTAL	23	191	211	1,242	238	264	200	22	146	79	636	33	12	480	6	47	3,830	221	192	413	3,717	386
	TOT	ΔT. 37	ייותדו. דר	EER PI	TD CO	TATET	י דידיד ד	.T775	r T O NT -						536	[FY 2		403	1 1		***************************************	
				OF TEI					-		א חיד רוזי	т.		17	899	[FY 2		40. 30,506				

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS PUBLICATION.

ADULT PROBATION

	***************************************																	PART			,
						***************************************]	FULL	TIM	3							TOTAL	TIME	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
	CPO			FLD		OTH	SUR	OTH	TRT	PRG	SUP	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	FULL		PART	!	
COUNTY	_JCD	SPV	ADM	OFF	PPO	OFF	OFF	SUR	EDU	SUP	STF	SPV	ADM	OFF	EDU	SUP	TIME	PRB DTN	TIME	!	PART
A DA CITI			_	_																	
APACHE	1	C	2	6	2	0	2	0	1		5						19	1	1	20	1
COCHISE	1	3	3	17	3	1	4	0	3		9						44	1	1	44	1
COCONINO	1	4	. 7	18	4	6	7	1	1		8						57	5	5	1	5
MARICOPA	1	72	58	588	73	42	2	2	98		212						1,149	91	91	!	104
PIMA	1	25	19	105	30	4	39	12	15		72						323	19	19		1
PINAL	1	C	3	24	6	3	4	1	1		8						52	3	3		1
YAVAPAI	1	6	3	28	5	11	10	2	1		17						84	0	0	!	0
YUMA	1	ϵ	5	28	9	8	11	2	6		18						97	4	4	1	5
									-								_			<u> </u>	
TOTAL	8	116	100	814	132	75	79	20	126		349						1,825	124	124	1,781	118
	TOT	AL V	OLUNT	EER P	ERSO	NNEL	UTI	JIZA	rion	:					0	[FY 2	_ !000:	146]			
	TOT	AL E	OURS	OF TEI	MPOR.	ARY 1	PERS	ONNE	L UT	ILIZA	MOITA	J:			0	[FY 2	:000	540]			

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS PUBLICATION.

JUVENILE COURT/PROBATION

	1				***************************************													l D:	A TO CTT			
							1	TIT.T.	TIM	7.							TOTAL	:	ART	momat	(/20	10000
	CPO			FLD		OTH					SUP	זאיזיכו	זאיזירן	דאידיכו	DTN	TOTAL	•		IME_	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
COUNTY	!		ADM	OFF	PPO						STF					DTN	FULL TIME	מממ	TOTAL	PART		
											011	DIV	FIDIT	OPT	٥٥١	SUP	TIME	PRB	DTN	TIME	FULL_	PART
APACHE	1 1	1	2	5	1	2	1		3	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	21	 1	0	-	 19	2
COCHISE	1	3	6	14	2	5	0		0	6	6	2	1	16	2	0	64	5		1 16	52	2
COCONINO	1	2	6	9	0	7	2		3	2	8	1	0	15	0	3	59	7	. 6	13	52 59	18 9
MARICOPA	1	28	50	189	49	98	41		0	24	68	11	-	183	0	32	777	! '	110	119	792	9 98
PIMA	1	14	11	60	38	27	35		1	13	109	7		147	0	8	474	20	44	64	426	73
PINAL	1	3	6	10	2	14	5		0	5	9	4	1	17	0	2	79	16	5	:	73	73 24
YAVAPAI	1	4	1	21	0	1	0		0	2	11	0	0	18	0	2	61	1 1	0	1 1	60	1
AMUY	1	3	10	12	2	9	8		8	11	16	3	1	16	4	0	104	8	0	8	103	8
TOTAL	8	58	92	320	94	163	92		15	63	231	29	7	412	6	47	1,639	67	176	243	1,584	233
	TOT	AL V	OLUNT	EER PI	ERSO	NNEL	UTII	JIZA	rion	:	7.1767				536	[FY 2	000:	25!	5]	I l		
	TOTA	AL H	OURS (OF TEN	MPOR	ARY I	PERSO	ONNE	L UT:	ILIZ	ATIO	N:		16	, 939	[FY 2	000: 2	29,96	51			

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS

PUBLICATION.

35

ANNUAL PERSONNEL SUMMARY FULL- AND PART-TIME PERSONNEL AS OF JUNE 30, 2001

ADULT/JUVENILE COMBINED PROBATION

																	·	P?	ART			
	ļ						1	FULL	TIME	3							TOTAL	T	IME_	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
	CPO			FLD		OTH	SUR	OTH	TRT	PRG	SUP	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	FULL			PART		
COUNTY	JCD	SPV	ADM	OFF	PPO	OFF	OFF	SUR	EDU	SUP	STF	SPV	ADM	OFF	EDU	SUP	TIME	PRB	DTN	TIME	FULL	PART
GILA	1	3	3	17	0	6	3	0	4	3	11	0	2	23	0	0	76	14	5	19	65	11
GRAHAM	1	0	2	10	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	21	1	0	1	19	0
GREENLEE	1	0	1	2	0	0	. 1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8.	4	0	4	9	5
LA PAZ	1	0	2	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	17	1	0	1	15	1
MOHAVE	1	10	4	38	4	12	13	0	0	4	21	1	0	19	0	0	127	0	1	1	123	1
NAVAJO	1	2	2	22	5	5	4	0	0	1	11	3	2	12	0	0	70	9	10	19	73	16
SANTA CRUZ	1	2	5	13	2	0	4	0	1	1	6	0	1	11	0	0	47	1	0	1	48	1
TOTAL	7	17	19	108	12	26	29	0	5	11	56	4	5	67	0	0	366	30 I	16	46	352	35
	TOT	AL V	OLUNT	EER PI	ERSO	NNEL	UTI	LIZA	TION	:					0	[FY 2	2000:	l	0]	I		
	TOT	AL H	OURS	OF TE	MPOR.	ARY	PERS	ONNE	L UT	ILIZ	ATIO	N:			960	[FY 2	2000:		0]			

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS PUBLICATION.

SUPERIOR COURT TOTAL (INCLUDES SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION AND SUPERIOR COURT CLERK)

W											·····						PA	RT			
							E	TULL	TIME	3				····		TOTAL	TI	ME	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
			OTH	CRT		\mathtt{BL}	JUD	CRT	OTH	CON	CLK	ADM	DEP	TCH	GEN	FULL		NON	PART		
COUNTY		JUD	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE		1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0		1	1	11	0	2	18	0	2	2	16	4
COCHISE		4	0	1	1	9	4	4	10		1	4	25	0	2	65	0	9	9	58	5
COCONINO		5	1	2	0	3	5	5	2		1	3	13	0	0	40	0	4	4	42	5
GILA		2	0	1	0	0	3	3	8		1	3	16	4	0	41	0	4	4	38	5
GRAHAM		1	0	2	0	0	0	1	2		1	0	7	0	1	15	0	1	1	12	2
GREENLEE		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		1	0	2	0	0	5	0	3	3	5	0
LA PAZ		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		1	1	5	0	0	9	0	2	2	9	2
MARICOPA		90	30	3	4	169	116	68	201		1	18	555	85	165	1,505	1	37	38	1,424	48
MOHAVE		5	2	1	0	1	7	6	12		2	. 0	26	1	0	63	0	5	5	63	2
NAVAJO		3	0	1	0	0	4	2	1		1	2	14	0	0	28	0	2	2	28	2
PIMA		28	14	1	19	11	37	32	131		1	25	120	27	38	484	0	61	61	505	13
PINAL		6	0	1	0	8	7	6	15		1	8	54	3	3	112	0	19	19	105	19
SANTA CRUZ		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		2	0	8	0	0	14	0	0	0	25	1
YAVAPAI		6	2	1	0	2	7	6	9		1	6	33	0	3	76	0	22	22	70	22
YUMA		6	0	1	0	7	4	9	7		1	3	22	1	0	61 	0 	6	6	56	7
TOTAL		 160	49	15	24	210	197	143	401		17	74	911	121	214	2,536	1	177	178	2,456	137
	TOTAL JIOLIA		DIID	CONTAC	F1T TT		777777			,			2	86 [FY 2	1	15]	1 1			,
	TOTAL VOLU								T 17 7 m	T () NT -		1	ے 19,9	-		000: 000: 1:					
	TOTAL HOUR	S OF	TEMP(UKAR	X PE	KSON	חקע	ОТТП	TAHI	TON:			17,7	/ O L	T. T Z	000. I .					

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS PUBLICATION.

SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION

																PA	ART			
						I	TULL	TIME	3						TOTAL	TI	ME	TOTAL	6/30	/2000
		OTH	${\tt CRT}$		BL	JUD	\mathtt{CRT}	OTH	CON	CLK	ADM	DEP	TCH	GEN	FULL		NON	PART		
COUNTY	JUD	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE	1 1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0					. 0	0	3	0	1	1	3	. 2
COCHISE	4	0	1	1	9	4	4	10					0	2	37	0	4	4	32	2
COCONINO	5	1	2	0	. 3	5	5	2					0	0	23	0	3	3	23	3
GILA	2	0	1	0	0	2	3	7					4	0	19	0	4	4	17	5
GRAHAM	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1					0	1	6	0	1	1	4	2
GREENLEE	j 1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0					0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
LA PAZ	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0					0	0	2	0	2	2	2	2
MARICOPA	90	30	3	4	169	116	68	201					69	164	948	1	21	22	865	24
MOHAVE	5	2	1	0	1	7	6	12					1	0	35	0	3	3	35	1
OLAVAIO	3	0	1	0	0	4	2	1					0	0	11	0	2	2	11	2
PIMA	28	14	1	19	11	37	32	125					22	3	292	0	60	60	308	12
PINAL	6	0	1	0	8	7	6	6					0	3	37	0	17	17	36	14
SANTA CRUZ	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	1	0	0	0	12	1
YAVAPAI	6	2	1	0	2	7	6	8					0	3	36	0	21	21	30	21
YUMA	6	0	1	0	7	4	5	7					1	0	32	0 	3	3	26	4
TOTAL	160	49	15	24	210	196	139	380			***		97	176	1,484	1	142	143	1,406	95
TOTA	 AL VOLUNTEER	PERS	SONN	EL U	rili:	ZATI	ON:			······································		2	86 [:	FY 2	000:	15:	<u> </u>			

TOTAL VOLUNTEER PERSONNEL UTILIZATION: 286 [FY 2000: 151]
TOTAL HOURS OF TEMPORARY PERSONNEL UTILIZATION: 97,824 [FY 2000: 62,083]

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS PUBLICATION.

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

							ד דדד	CT T NAT	7						moma t	PAR		momar I	c /20	/0000
		OTH	CRT		BL			TIME	CON	CTV	7 17 1/4	תמת	mair	CIENT	TOTAL	TIM		TOTAL	6/30	/2000
COUNTY	JUD			LEG					STB			CLK			FULL TIME		NC QU	PART TIME	FULL	PART
				_													ļ			
APACHE				0	0	0	0	0		1	1	11	0	2	15		1	1	13	2
COCHISE				0	0	0	0	0		1	4	23	0	0	28		5	5	26	3
COCONINO				0	0	0	0	. 0		1	3	13	0	0	17		1	1	19	2
GILA				0	0	1	0	1		1	3	16	0	0	22		0	0	21	0
GRAHAM				0	0	0	0	1		1	0	7	0	0	9		0	0	8	0
GREENLEE				0	0	0	0	0		1	0	2	0	0	3		3	3	3	0
A PAZ				0	0	0	0	0		1	1	5	0	0	7		0	0	7	0
MARICOPA				0	0	0	0	0		1	8	531	16	1	557		16	16	559	24
OHAVE				0	0	0	0	0		2	0	26	0	0	28		2	2	28	1
OLAVAI				0	0	0	0	0		1	2	14	0	0	17		0	0	17	0
PIMA				0	0	0	0	6		1	25	120	5	35	192		1	1	197	1
PINAL				0	0	0	0	9		1	8	54	3	0	75		2	2	69	5
SANTA CRUZ				0	0	0	0	3		2	0	8	0	0	13		οİ	o	13	0
YAVAPAI				0	0	0	0	1		1	6	32	0	0	40		1	1	40	1
AMDZ				0	0	0	4	0		1	3	21	0	0	29		3	3	30	3
						·										-				
TOTAL				0	0	1	4	21		17	64	883	24	38	1,052		35	35	1,050	42

TOTAL VOLUNTEER PERSONNEL UTILIZATION: 0 [FY 2000: 0]
TOTAL HOURS OF TEMPORARY PERSONNEL UTILIZATION: 22,152 [FY 2000: 51,404]

^[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2000 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO ITS PUBLICATION.