

FILED

SEP 03 2008

RACHELLE M. RESNICK
CLERK SUPREME COURT
BY

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,

PAUL B. RUDOLPH,
Bar No. 014027

RESPONDENT

) Supreme Court
) No. SB-08-0094-D
)

) Disciplinary Commission
) No. 05-2003
)

) JUDGMENT AND ORDER
)

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and no discretionary review or *sua sponte* review occurring,


IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **PAUL B. RUDOLPH** a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of thirty days, effective thirty days from the date of this order, for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **PAUL B. RUDOLPH** shall be placed on probation for a period of two years. Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins. The terms of probation are as follows.

- 1 Within thirty days of reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the Director of MAP and schedule a MAP assessment. Respondent thereafter shall enter into a probation contract based on the recommendations of the MAP director or designee. The period of probation shall run from the date that all parties have signed the probation contract and will conclude two years from that date.
- 2 In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information, bar counsel shall file with the imposing entity a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b)

DATED this 3rd day of September, 2008



Rachelle M. Resnick
Clerk of the Court

TO

Paul B. Rudolph, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
J. Scott Rhodes, Respondent's Counsel
Amy K. Rehm, Bar Counsel
Honorable H. Jeffrey Coker, Hearing Officer 6R
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct
copy of the original on file in this office

ATTEST

Rachelle M. Resnick, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona

By  Deputy