

FILED
JUN 29 2009
RACHELLE M. RESNICK
CLERK SUPREME COURT
BY

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

- a) Within thirty days of reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the Director of MAP and submit to a MAP assessment. Respondent shall thereafter enter into a MAP contract based on the recommendations made by the MAP director or designee. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the MAP contract after signing and throughout the remaining period of probation.
- b) Within thirty days of reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the Director of LOMAP and schedule a LOMAP audit. The LOMAP director or designee shall conduct the audit within 60 days thereafter. Respondent shall enter into a LOMAP contract based on the recommendations made by the LOMAP director or designee. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the LOMAP contract after signing and throughout the remaining period of probation.

- c) Respondent shall find a qualified practice monitor within thirty days of the effective date of probation, approved by bar counsel and LOMAP director.
- d) Respondent shall be responsible for any costs associated with MAP and LOMAP.
- e) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives such information, bar counsel shall file with the imposing entity a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practicable time, but in no event later than thirty days after the receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of the terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent **VICTORIA R. MIRANDA** shall pay restitution prior to reinstatement in the following total amount to the following individual:

Javier Padilla

\$ 3,175.90

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 72(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of her clients, within ten days from the date hereof, of her inability to represent them and that she should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b).

DATED this 29th day of June, 2009.

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTEST
Rachelle M. Resnick, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona

By Carmen P. Liles Deputy


RUTH V. MCGREGOR
Chief Justice

TO:

Victoria R. Miranda, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)

Ralph W. Adams, Respondent's Counsel

Amy K. Rehm, Bar Counsel

Honorable H. Jeffery Coker, Hearing Officer 6R

Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis