



SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)	Supreme Court
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)	No. SB-03-0022-D
)	
LEE P. BLAKE,)	Disciplinary Commission
Attorney No. 016377)	Nos. 01-1624 & 01-2198
)	
RESPONDENT.)	JUDGMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision and no discretionary review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **LEE P. BLAKE**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the commission report attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **LEE P. BLAKE** be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, under the following terms and conditions:

1. Respondent will, within thirty (30) days of the issuance of this Order, contact the director of the Member Assistance Program (MAP) at the State Bar of Arizona to schedule a MAP assessment. The MAP director or her designee will complete the assessment no later than ninety (90) days after issuance of this Order. Following the assessment, Respondent will enter into a Memorandum of Understanding.
2. Respondent is responsible for the costs and expenses associated with his participation in MAP.
3. Respondent shall participate in the State Bar's fee arbitration program for any claim made by any client in File Nos. 01-1624 and 01-2198 within six (6) months of the date of this Order, and shall pay any award in the amount and within the time frame set by the Fee Arbitration Committee. The State Bar shall inform the clients in the above-referenced files that Respondent is required to participate in fee arbitration if they file a petition for fee arbitration with the State Bar within six (6) months of the date of this Order.

4. In the event Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar, bar counsel shall file with the Disciplinary Commission a Notice of Non-Compliance. The Disciplinary Clerk shall assign a Hearing Officer. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing at the earliest possible date, but in no event later than thirty (30) days following receipt of notice, to determine whether a condition of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction.
5. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar to prove non-compliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **LEE P. BLAKE** shall pay the costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$691.50, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this 7th day of March, 2003.



NOEL K. DESSAINT, Clerk

TO:

Lee P. Blake, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt)
Shawn K. Aiken, Respondent's Counsel
Karen Clark, Senior Bar Counsel, State Bar of Arizona
Bob Van Wyck, Chief Bar Counsel, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Douglas M. Brooks, Disciplinary Clerk, Disciplinary Commission (Cert. Copy)
Betty Flores, Director, Membership Records, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Marnie Leinberger, MCLE/BLS Administrator, State Bar of Arizona
Cynthia Zwick, Executive Director, State Bar of Arizona
Dee Steadman, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
William Suter, Clerk, United States Supreme Court (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
West Publishing Company (Ardis Lanz)
Lexis/Nexis

/kdl

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTEST

Noel K. Dessaint, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona

By Karen Debes Deputy