SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

FILED
OCT 29 2003
NOEL K. DESSAINT
CLERK SUPREME COURT
BY

IN THE MATTER OF A SUSPENDED MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA	Supreme Court No. SB-03-0129-D
	Disciplinary Commission Nos. 01-0732, 01-1524, 02-1476, 02-1533
THAINE M. CROWN, JR., Bar No. 012100	
RESPONDENT.	**JUDGMENT AND ORDER**

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision and no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **THAINE M. CROWN, JR.**, a suspended member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of six (6) months and one (1) day, effective the date of this Order, for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Hearing Officer's Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **THAINE M. CROWN, JR.** shall be placed on probation, upon reinstatement, for a period of two (2) years, under the following terms and conditions:

1) The Respondent shall submit to a law office audit by the Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP) director or designee, and shall comply with all recommendations.

2) Respondent shall complete the Ethics Enhancement Program (EEP) offered by the State Bar within the two (2) year period of probation and shall pay all required fees.

3) In the event Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing terms and information thereof is received by the State Bar, bar counsel shall file a Notice of Non-Compliance with the Disciplinary Commission. The Disciplinary Commission may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest possible date, but in no event later than thirty (30) days following receipt of said notice. If the matter is referred to a hearing officer, the hearing officer shall determine whether the terms of probation have been breached, and if so, to recommend appropriate action and response to such breach.

4) If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar to prove non-compliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall pay in full any and all claims paid by the Client Protection Fund, not to exceed the maximum permissible payment of $100,000.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 63, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 63(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of his clients, within ten (10) days from the date hereof, of his inability to represent them and that he should promptly inform this Court of her compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 63(d).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 52(a)8, the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **THAINE M. CROWN, JR.** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of $1,556.62, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this 29th day of October, 2003.

Noël K. Dessaint

NOËL K. DESSAINT, Clerk

TO:
Thaine M. Crown, Jr., Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt)
Karen Clark, Senior Bar Counsel
Harlan J. Crossman, Hearing Officer 8L
Douglas M. Brooks, Clerk, Disciplinary Commission (Cert. Copy)
Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis

/kdl

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office

ATTEST: _____
Noel K. Dessaint, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona

By Karen Fehr Deputy