

1 Respondent "did nothing for her" and subsequent counsel testified that Respondent had
2 overcharged the client, but that some work had been performed worth approximately
3 \$1,200.00 - \$1,500.00.²

4 The Commission determined however, that Respondent should not be compensated
5 for legal work that he was not authorized to perform, and therefore recommended that the
6 client receive full restitution for fees paid.

7 In closing, the Commission determined that disbarment would have been an
8 appropriate sanction in this matter if Respondent were a member of the State Bar of
9 Arizona; however, because Respondent is a non-member, censure is the most severe
10 sanction that can be imposed. *See Matter of Olsen*, 180 Ariz. 5, 881 P.2d 337 (1994).

11
12 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30th day of March 2004.

13
14 

15 Craig B. Mehrens, Chair
16 Disciplinary Commission

17 Original filed with the Disciplinary Clerk
18 this 30th day of March 2004.

19 Copy of the foregoing mailed
20 this 30th day of March 2004 to:

21 Martin Lieberman
22 Hearing Officer 7W
23 111 West Monroe, Suite 1650
24 Phoenix, AZ 85003-1736

25
26 ² See hearing transcript dated September 10, 2003, p. 94, and hearing transcript dated
October 20, 2003, pp. 63-64 and p. 115.

Stephen G. Montoya
Respondent's Counsel
3200 N. Central, Suite 225
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2490

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered
this 30th day of March 2004 to:

Maret Vessella
Deputy Chief Bar Counsel
State Bar of Arizona
111 West Monroe, Suite 1800
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1742

By K Weigand

/mps