



SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)
)
)
CARL D. LEE,)
Bar No. 007439)
)
RESPONDENT.)

Supreme Court
No. SB-06-0001-D

Disciplinary Commission
No. 03-1798

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision and there having been no discretionary review,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **CARL D. LEE**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **CARL D. LEE** shall be placed on probation for a period of one year effective upon the signing of the probation contract. Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1) Respondent shall, within 30 days of the Supreme Court's final judgment and order, contact the director of the State Bar's Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP) to schedule an audit of his trust account. Following the audit, Respondent shall enter into a probation contract. Respondent shall comply with all recommendations of the LOMAP director or her designee.
- 2) Respondent shall complete the State Bar's Trust Accounts Ethics Enhancement Program (TAEHP) during the probation period.
- 3) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information to that effect, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. S. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **CARL D. LEE** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$1153.65, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this 10th day of January, 2006.

NOEL K. DESSAINT
Clerk of the Court

TO:

Carl D. Lee, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
Denise M. Quinterri, Bar Counsel
Patricia E. Nola, Hearing Officer 7Y
Patricia Seguin, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis-Nexis

tel

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTEST

Noel K. Dessaint, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona.

By *Patricia Seguin* Deputy