

SEP 25 2007

DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION OF THE  
SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA  
BY *[Signature]*

**BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION  
OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA**

1			
2			
3	IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER	)	Nos. 06-0484, 06-0722, 06-1261
4	OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,	)	
5		)	
6	<b>RICARDO A. BRACAMONTE,</b>	)	
7	<b>Bar No. 014303</b>	)	<b>DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION</b>
8		)	<b>REPORT</b>
9		)	
10		)	
11		)	
12		)	
13		)	
14		)	
15		)	
16		)	
17		)	
18		)	
19		)	
20		)	
21		)	
22		)	
23		)	
24		)	
25		)	
26		)	

This matter came before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona on September 15, 2007, pursuant to Rule 58, Ariz.R.Sup.Ct., for consideration of the Hearing Officer's Report filed June 25, 2007, recommending acceptance of the Tender of Admissions and the Agreement for Discipline by Consent (Tender) and the Joint Memorandum (Joint Memorandum) in Support of Agreement for Discipline by Consent providing for censure, two years of probation with the State Bar's Law Office Manager Program (LOMAP), fee arbitration, and costs.

**Decision**

The nine members of the Disciplinary Commission unanimously recommend accepting and adopting the Hearing Officer's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation for censure, two years of probation (LOMAP), fee arbitration in File No. 06-0722, and costs of these disciplinary proceedings.<sup>1</sup> The terms of probation are as follows:

<sup>1</sup> A copy of the Hearing Officer's Report is attached as Exhibit A.

**Terms of Probation**

1           1.       Within 30-days from the date of the final Judgment and Order, Respondent  
2 shall contact the LOMAP director and schedule and audit of his office. The audit shall  
3 occur no later than 60-days thereafter. Respondent shall enter into a Memorandum of  
4 Understanding (“MOU”) effective two years from the date the MOU is signed and comply  
5 with all recommendations of the LOMAP director or designee. LOMAP will focus on  
6 written fee agreements and the providing of an accounting to clients.  
7

8           2.       Respondent shall participate in fee arbitration with Cathy Bishop, File No.  
9 06-0722.

10          3.       Respondent shall comply with the Rules of Professional Conduct and Trust  
11 Account Guidelines during the probation period.

12          4.       Respondent shall pay all costs incurred in these disciplinary proceedings  
13 including those incurred by LOMAP.

14          5.       In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing  
15 conditions, and the State Bar receives information, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing  
16 Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The  
17 Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to  
18 determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction  
19 should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been  
20 violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

clear and convincing evidence.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2007.



J. Conrad Baran, Chair  
Disciplinary Commission

Original filed with the Disciplinary Clerk  
this 25<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2007.

Copy of the foregoing mailed  
this 25<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2007, to:

Denice R. Shepherd  
Hearing Officer 7Q  
2424 East Speedway Boulevard  
Tucson, AZ 85719

Stephen Montoya  
Respondent's Counsel  
*Montoya Jimenez, P.A.*  
The Great American Tower  
3200 North Central Ave., Suite 2550  
Phoenix, AZ 85012

David L. Sandweiss  
Bar Counsel  
State Bar of Arizona  
4201 North 24th Street, Suite 200  
Phoenix, AZ 85016-6288

by: 

/mps