



SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A SUSPENDED MEMBER)
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)
)
)
JOSUE-ALFONSO MUNOZ S.,)
Bar No. 014571)
)
RESPONDENT.)

Supreme Court
No. SB-07-0002-D

Disciplinary Commission
No. 05-0921

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision and there having been no discretionary review,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **JOSUE-ALFONSO MUNOZ S.**, a suspended member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **JOSUE-ALFONSO MUNOZ S.** shall be placed on probation for a period of one year. Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1) Respondent shall contact the Director of Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP) within 30-days of the date of the final Judgment and order and submit to a LOMAP audit of his trust account procedures and calendaring procedures. The Director of LOMAP shall develop a probation contract and its terms shall be incorporated herein by reference.
- 2) Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.
- 3) Respondent shall complete the Trust Account Ethics Enhancement Program.
- 4) Respondent shall pay all costs associated with these disciplinary proceedings including the assessment by LOMAP and any applicable monitoring of the probation contract.

- 5) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information to that effect, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against JOSUE-ALFONSO MUNOZ S. for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$897.50, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this 30th day of January, 2007.

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTEST
 Noel K. Dessaint, Clerk of the Supreme Court
 State of Arizona



NOEL K. DESSAINT
 Clerk of the Court

By Lisha Jany Deputy

TO:
 Josue-Alfonso Munoz S., Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
 Amy K. Rehm, Senior Bar Counsel
 Patricia E. Nolan, Hearing Officer #7Y
 Lauren E. Eiler, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)
 Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
 Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
 Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
 West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
 Lexis-Nexis

tel