

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

FILED
MAR 18 2008
RACHELLE M. RESNICK
CLERK SUPREME COURT
BY

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)
)
)
)
STUART J. REILLY,)
Bar No. 005275)
)
RESPONDENT)

Supreme Court
No. SB-08-0018-D

Disciplinary Commission
No 06-0817

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, there having been no discretionary review and *sua sponte* review having been declined by the Court,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **STUART J. REILLY**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of four years, effective thirty days from the date of this judgment and order, for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall pay, as restitution, all monies owed to the Wilsons in Maricopa County Superior Court Cause No CV2004-022985 Restitution shall be paid in full prior to filing his application for reinstatement

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **STUART J. REILLY** shall be placed on probation for a period of two years upon reinstatement and effective upon the signing of the probation contract Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins The terms of probation are as follows


- 1) Probation shall include Member Assistance Program monitoring and treatment, a practice monitor, and to any other terms and conditions deemed appropriate at the time of reinstatement.
- 2) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information to that effect, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz R Sup Ct The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 72(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of his clients, within ten (10) days from the date hereof, of his inability to represent them and that he should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **STUART J. REILLY** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$1,144 57, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this 18th day of March, 2008.



Rachelle M Resnick
Clerk of the Court


TO

Stuart J. Reilly, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
Roberta L Tepper, Bar Counsel
Donna Lee Elm, Hearing Officer
Leticia D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert Copy)
Perry Thompson, Director of Admissions, Supreme Court of the United States (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis

clf

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTEST
Rachelle M. Resnick, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona

By  Deputy