

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)
)
)
)
MICHAEL A. URBANO,)
Bar No. 023029)
)
RESPONDENT.)

Supreme Court
No SB-08-0031-D

Disciplinary Commission
No 06-0687, 06-1273

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, there having been no discretionary review and *sua sponte* review having been declined by the Court,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **MICHAEL A. URBANO**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of sixty days, effective thirty days from the date of this order, for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **MICHAEL A. URBANO** shall be placed on probation for a period of one year upon reinstatement Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins The terms of probation are as follows


- 1) Respondent shall be placed on one year of probation, which will begin after his reinstatement to active status and run for one year from his signing of a Memorandum of Understanding.
- 2) Respondent shall contact the Member Assistance Program ("MAP") director and schedule an assessment Respondent thereafter, shall enter into a MAP contract based on the recommendations of the MAP director or designee.
- 3) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information to that effect, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz R Sup Ct The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 72(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of his clients, within ten days from the date hereof, of his inability to represent them and that he should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **MICHAEL A. URBANO** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$928 71, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.


DATED this 22nd day of April, 2008.



Rachelle M. Resnick
Clerk of the Court

TO
Michael A Urbano, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
Veronica L. Manolio, Respondent's Counsel
Denise K. Tomaiko, Bar Counsel
Stanley R. Lerner, Hearing Officer 7V
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTEST
Rachelle M. Resnick, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona
By  Deputy