FILED
NOV 21 2008
RACHELLE M. RESNICK
CLERK SUPREME COURT
BY

**SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA, | ) | Supreme Court No. SB-08-0162-D |
| | ) | Disciplinary Commission No. 07-0254 |
| **J. MURRAY ZEIGLER, Bar No. 012427** | ) | |
| RESPONDENT. | ) | **JUDGMENT AND ORDER** |

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **J. MURRAY ZEIGLER**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **J. MURRAY ZEIGLER** shall be placed on probation for a period of one (1) year. Probation is effective the date of this Order. The terms of probation are as follows:

1. Respondent shall attend a one-day Ethics Enhancement Program (EEP). Respondent must contact Lisa Casablanca, Program Coordinator, State Bar of Arizona, at (602) 340-7250, within 20-days from the date of the Judgment and Order. Respondent shall be responsible for the cost of attending the program.

2. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.

3. Probation will start at the entry of the Judgment and Order, and will conclude one year from that date.

4. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Noncompliance with the imposing entity, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practicable date, but in no event later than 30-days after receipt of the notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend appropriate action and response. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove noncompliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **J. MURRAY ZEIGLER.,** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of $1473.00, together with interest at the legal rate within 30-days from the date of this judgment.

DATED this 21st day of November, 2008.

Rachelle M Resnick
Rachelle M. Resnick
Clerk of the Court

TO:
J. Murray Zeigler, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
Guy Bluff, Respondent's Counsel
Stephen P. Little, Bar Counsel
Stanley R. Lerner, Hearing Officer 7V
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis
chj

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.
ATTEST
Rachelle M. Resnick, Clerk of the Supreme Court
State of Arizona
By _____ Deputy