

2. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other rules of Supreme Court of Arizona.
3. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Non-Compliance with the imposing entity, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practical date, but in no event later than 30-days after receipt of notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the State Bar of Arizona bears the burden of proof to prove noncompliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **JAMES M. LAGANKE** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$1069.25, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this _____ day of August, 2009.

Suzanne D. Bunnin
Chief Deputy Clerk

TO:

James M. LaGanke, Respondent (Regular Mail and Certified Mail, Return Receipt)
Kent E. Turley, Respondent's Counsel
Amy K. Rehm, Bar Counsel
Mark S. Sifferman, Hearing Officer 9J
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona
Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
Attn: Don Lewis
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona
Attn: Beth Stephenson
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis
chj