

**SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA**

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,	)	Supreme Court No. SB-09-0115-D
	)	
	)	Disciplinary Commission No. 06-1529
<b>GARY L. LASSEN,</b>	)	
<b>Bar No. 005259</b>	)	<b>FILED 12/14/2009</b>
	)	
RESPONDENT.	)	
		<b>JUDGMENT AND ORDER</b>

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and there having been no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **GARY L. LASSEN**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **GARY L. LASSEN** shall be placed on probation for a period of one year. The terms of probation are as follows:

1. Respondent shall contact the director of the State Bar’s Member Assistance Program (MAP) within 30 days of the date of this Judgment and Order and submit to a MAP assessment.
2. The director of MAP shall develop “Terms and Conditions of Probation” based upon the assessment and the terms should be incorporated into the order of probation.
3. Respondent shall comply with any other terms and conditions incorporated into the order of probation.
4. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.
5. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information thereof, bar counsel shall file with the imposing entity a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within 30-days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b).

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2009.

---

Rachelle M. Resnick  
Clerk of the Court

TO:

Gary L. Lassen, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)

Nancy A. Greenlee, Respondent's Counsel

Edward W. Parker, Bar Counsel

Daniel P. Beeks, Hearing Officer 7M

Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona

Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Attn: Don Lewis

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona

Attn: Beth Stephenson

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis