

**SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA**

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,	)	Supreme Court No. SB-09-0101-D
	)	
	)	Disciplinary Commission No. 07-1867
<b>STEPHEN J. RENARD,</b>	)	
<b>Bar No. 021991</b>	)	<b>FILED 10/15/2009</b>
	)	
RESPONDENT.	)	<b>JUDGMENT AND ORDER</b>

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and there having been no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **STEPHEN J. RENARD**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **STEPHEN J. RENARD** shall be placed on probation for a period of one (1) year. The terms of probation are as follows:

1. Within thirty (30) days Respondent shall contact the Director of LOMAP and schedule a LOMAP audit. The LOMAP director or designee shall conduct the audit within sixty (60) days thereafter. Respondent shall enter into a LOMAP contract based on the recommendations made by the LOMAP director or designee
2. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the LOMAP contract. The contract may include the use of a practice monitor.
3. Respondent shall be responsible for any costs associated with LOMAP.
4. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of professional Conduct or other Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.
5. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Non-Compliance with the imposing entity, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practical date, but in no event later than 30 days after receipt of notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction. If there is an allegation that

Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the State Bar of Arizona bears the burden of proof to prove noncompliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b).

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2009.

---

Rachelle M. Resnick  
Clerk of the Court

TO:

Stephen J. Renard, Respondent (Regular Mail and Certified Mail, Return Receipt)

Jason B. Easterday, Bar Counsel

Thomas M. Quigley, Hearing Officer 8W

Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk

Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona

Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Attn: Don Lewis

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona

Attn: Beth Stephenson

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis

chj