




5. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Non-Compliance with the imposing entity, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practical date, but in no event later than 30 days after receipt of notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the State Bar of Arizona bears the burden of proof to prove noncompliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **WHITNEY L. SORRELL** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$3,684.20, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2009.


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rachelle M. Resnick  
Clerk of the Court

TO:

Whitney L. Sorrell, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)  
Roberta L. Tepper, Bar Counsel  
Thomas M. Quigley, Hearing Officer 8W  
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)  
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)  
Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)  
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)  
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)  
Lexis/Nexis

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTEST  
Rachelle M. Resnick, Clerk of the Supreme Court  
State of Arizona

By  Deputy