

# COURTS IN YAVAPAI COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2017-2019 SUMMARY



## LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Expand digitization efforts; obtain additional scanners and monitors for clerks; reduce transfer of paper documents among justice partners; preserve historical documents by backscanning.
- Improve statistical reporting related to case processing through SSRS reports.
- Expand technology in the courtroom and chambers including videoconference equipment, tablets, and bench automation.
- Prepare for participation in statewide projects including limited jurisdiction CMS, AZTurboCourte-filing, and JOLTSaz.
- Increase mobile access to probation files and documents for field officers; provide probation access to AJACS CMS data.
- Expand disconnected scanning and paperless processes to additional limited jurisdiction courts.
- Provide automation, mobility, and reporting enhancements for Probation users.
- Prescott Consolidated increasing functionality with AJACS following adoption.
- LJ courts focused on e-citation, increasing online payments, digitization of files, website enhancements, and preparations for AJACS CMS

## CY 2014/15 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Got underway with eBench and eFiling pilots – the first AJACS court to do so.
- Replaced all ACAP PCs/laptops as part of technology refresh project.
- Adopted electronic warrants with Sheriff's Office.
- Made court calendar available to public on website.
- Completed OnBase upgrades and numerous other technology upgrades/enhancements for greater functionality and local supportability.
- Completed court security improvements including mass texting capability for emergency readiness.
- Prescott courts adopted AJACS but without legacy case conversion.

## Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

<b>LJ CMS</b>	Apart from Prescott's adoption, LJ courts preparing to convert from AZTEC on AOC timeline.
<b>JOLTSaz</b>	Strong desire for full integration with AJACS; will be an early adopter.
<b>LJ EDMS</b>	Very high importance for productivity improvement and paperless processing; will adopt with AJACS.
<b>e-Filing/Std Forms</b>	Importance varies court by court; anxious to improve customer service and accept payments on web; will generally be mid-cycle adopter.

- Bench Automation** Superior Court already has eBench; LJ courts interested but adoption timeline varies by court; generally will be mid-cycle adopters.
- LJ Case Worksheet** No input received from LJ courts.

**Risks and Concerns (Security and Architecture)**

- Juvenile and Drug Court MS-Access database holding production data outside of JOLTS, posing business risk.
- Non-standard FoxIT scanning software in Chino Valley, and Readiris OCR software in Mayer still in use even after warning in approval letter two years ago, potentially affecting e-filing adoption.
- Prescott Consolidated' s Cache legacy CMS hardware/software must continue to be supported until all open cases are resolved or converted – 2 years minimum.

<b>TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS</b>					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full <sup>1</sup>	Skeletal <sup>2</sup>	Mention <sup>3</sup>	
Integration Project	FY18		X		All courts & county criminal justice agencies
Electronic Fingerprinting	FY17		X		Clerk of Court
e-Filing	FY16	X			Clerk of Court; Superior Court
Electronic Document Transmissions	FY17		X		LJ Courts & Jail
Bench Automation	FY16	X			Superior Court
LJ AJACS Rollout	FY18		X		LJ courts except Prescott
Disconnected Scanning	FY18		X		LJ courts
Tele/Videoconference Equipment for Judges	FY18		X		Superior Court / Prescott
Upgrade Remaining Presentation Carts for Courtrooms	FY18		X		Superior Court / Prescott
Disaster Recovery/COOP	FY17		X		Superior Court & Clerk

## TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full <sup>1</sup>	Skeletal <sup>2</sup>	Mention <sup>3</sup>	
Metrics Dashboard on Web	FY18		X		Superior Court
Boost Wi-Fi Capability	FY17		X		Superior Court
BackScanning & Historical Scanning	FY16		X		Clerk of Court; to Year 2000 & docket books
Social Networking	FY17		X		Clerk of Court, Adult Pro
eSignature	FY17		X		Juvenile Court
JOLTSaz	FY17		X		Juvenile Court
Computer Move	FY17		X		Camp Verde
ADOT Kiosk	>FY20		X		Camp Verde
Web-Based Training	FY17		X		Camp Verde
Phone Tree	FY17		X		Mayer Justice
AJACS FARE Adoption	FY16		X		Prescott Consolidated
Restore eCitation in AJACS	FY16		X		Prescott Consolidated
AJACS Scanning	<FY20		X		Prescott Consolidated
Digital Signage	FY17		X		Superior Court
Remote Interpreting	FY17		X		Superior Court

<b>TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS</b>					
<b>Project</b>	<b>Year/ Status</b>	<b>Project Detail Provided</b>			<b>Comments</b>
		<b>Full<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Skeletal<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Mention<sup>3</sup></b>	
<b>Improve Law Library</b>	FY17		X		Superior Court
<b>Online Forms</b>	FY17		X		Superior Court
<b>Automated Hearing Reminders</b>	FY17		X		Superior Court
<b>Jury System</b>	<FY20		X		Superior Court, Clerk of Court
<b>Pro Per Self Help</b>	FY19		X		Superior Court, Clerk of Court
<b>Electronic Check Deposit</b>	FY17		X		Superior Court, Clerk of Court
<b>Public Access to Records</b>	<FY20		X		Superior Court, Clerk of Court

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.