

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN PINAL COUNTY
2014 JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW
HONORABLE DELIA R. NEAL

<i>Total number received = 56</i>					
<i>Total for each category:</i>					
<i>Rating Scale for Attorneys, Litigants, Witnesses, Jurors, and staff: Superior = 5, Very Good = 4, Satisfactory = 3 Poor = 2, Unacceptable = 1, Can't Rate = Blank</i>					
<i>Rating scale for Self Evaluations: Excellent = 4, Good = 3, Fair = 2, Poor = 1, No Opinion or do not know = Blank</i>					
	1	30	7	18	
	All Mean Score	Self Evaluation	Attorneys	Litigants, Witnesses and Jurors	Staff
LEGAL ABILITY					
Legal reasoning ability	3.6		3.6		
Knowledge of substantive law	3.7		3.7		
Knowledge of rules of evidence	3.7		3.7		
Knowledge of rules of procedure	3.6		3.6		
INTEGRITY					
Basic Fairness and impartiality	4.4		4.0	4.7	4.4
Equal treatment regardless of race	4.6		4.4	5.0	4.4
Equal treatment regardless of gender	4.5		4.1	5.0	4.4
Equal treatment regardless of religion	4.6		4.4	5.0	4.5
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	4.6		4.3	5.0	4.4
Equal treatment regardless of disability	4.6		4.4	5.0	4.5
Equal treatment regardless of age	4.5		4.4	4.8	4.4
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	4.6		4.4	5.0	4.4
Equal Treatment regardless of economic status	4.6		4.4	5.0	4.4
COMMUNICATION SKILLS					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	4.1		3.8		4.3
Clear and logical written decisions	3.7		3.7		
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	4.1		4.1		
Explained proceedings	4.9			4.9	
Explained reason for delays	5.0			5.0	
JURORS ONLY: Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities	5.0			5.0	
JUDICIAL TEMPERAMENT					
Understanding and compassion	4.5		4.2	4.9	4.4
Dignified	4.4		4.0	4.9	4.3
Courteous	4.4		4.2	4.9	4.2
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and the judge's ability	4.4		4.0	4.9	4.2
Patient	4.3		3.9	4.6	4.3
ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE					
Punctual in conducting proceedings	4.4		4.1	4.9	4.3
Maintained proper control of courtroom	4.4		4.0	4.8	4.3
Prompt in Making rulings and rendering decisions	4.0		4.0		
Was prepared for the proceedings	4.4		4.2	4.8	4.3
Respectful treatment of staff	4.4				4.4

**SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN PINAL COUNTY
2014 JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW
HONORABLE DELIA R. NEAL**

<i>Total number received = 56</i>					
<i>Total for each category:</i>		1	30	7	18
<i>Rating Scale for Attorneys, Litigants, Witnesses, Jurors, and staff: Superior = 5, Very Good = 4, Satisfactory = 3 Poor = 2, Unacceptable = 1, Can't Rate = Blank</i>	All Mean Score	Self Evaluation	Attorneys	Litigants, Witnesses and Jurors	Staff
<i>Rating scale for Self Evaluations: Excellent = 4, Good = 3, Fair= 2, Poor = 1, No Opinion or do not know = Blank</i>					
Cooperation with peers	4.3				4.3
Efficient management of calendar	4.2		4.1		4.3
SETTLEMENT ACTIVITIES					
Appropriately conducted or promoted settlement	4.1		4.1		