

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-012

Complainant: No. 1268410616A

Judge: No. 1268410616B

ORDER

After a review of the complaint filed in this matter, the Commission on Judicial Conduct determined that there is no evidence of judicial misconduct on the part of the judge. The commission is not a court and cannot reverse or change a court's decision.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a) and the matter is closed.

Dated: April 3, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 3, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

CJC-06-012

Instructions: Please use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. In your own words, describe specifically what the judge did that you believe is misconduct. You should provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint, but you do not need to cite the applicable canons of judicial conduct. Although you may attach additional pages, do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you feel will help us understand your complaint.

Your name: [redacted] Judge's name: Judge [redacted] Date: 1/13/06
 Defendant [redacted] has made repeated efforts in case number [redacted]
 to move her case to the Superior Court of [redacted] County AZ, in case number
 [redacted] where an appeal brief has been docketed since [redacted]
 2005. The judge failed to certify and transmit the case to the Superior
 court. The Commission On Judicial Conduct ruled against the initial
 hearing judge [redacted] in case
 number [redacted] regarding the same case Judge [redacted] has been
 tampering with. Defendant has had to file a writ of mandamus before
 the Superior Court of [redacted] County in case number [redacted] filed against
 a third Justice of the Peace [redacted] who obstructed my granddaughter's
 effort to appeal to a higher court as a poor person. See minute entry [redacted]
 Honorable [redacted] dated [redacted] Judge [redacted] had
 ordered a poor person, opposing counsel's cost before she could pursue her
 appeal. The Justice Court in [redacted] AZ, makes it impossible for a lay
 person to appeal to the Superior Court, and there were times the Clerk of
 the Superior Court refused to file my granddaughter's appeal in forma
 pauperis. The Commission On Judicial Conduct found in the same case that
 Judge [redacted] conduct was inappropriate [redacted]
 violating Canon 3B(2) of the Code of Judicial Conduct, requiring a judge
 to maintain competence in the law, and Canon 3B(5), which provides that a
 judge must not manifest bias. I believe that Judge [redacted] has
 ignored your findings, and committed an even greater injustice denying my
 granddaughter's appeal to be moved to the next court! No court has
 determined that my granddaughter intentionally & knowingly waived her right
 to appeal. In the Writ of Mandamus, [redacted] she stressed to the Superior
 Court that she had been coerced and threatened by plaintiff's counsel, and
 Judge [redacted] stood moot and offered no advise regarding her rights
 to appeal. I was present in the initial trial that should have been moved
 to the Superior Court to begin with, because there was a question of
 ownership.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)