State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 06-023		
Complainant:		No.	1276710520A
Judge:		No.	1276710520B

ORDER

The Commission on Judicial Conduct reviewed the complaint and determined that there was no clear and convincing evidence of judicial misconduct. Although the documents filed by the landlord may have been inconsistent or incorrect, this is a legal question that should be addressed by an appellate court. The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's ruling.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: March 29, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 29, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

	CJC-06-023
	el
January 24, 2006	
State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
Dear Sir or Madam:	
property owner of apartment that I rented for six months on a m complaint has many inaccurate lines. On line 5-day notice was alleging ably served. On li alleges that rent is unpaid since one and a h rental agreement. On the only rent rent which is a total of checked. Probably because written notice is rental agreement is violated (when there was to allege the late fee of \$30 for the first day subsection A, 33-1414 subsection C. This cand premature action presented to, and significant in the complex of the size of \$30 for the first day subsection A, 33-1414 subsection C. This cand premature action presented to, and significant in the complex of the size of \$30 for the first day subsection A, 33-1414 subsection C. This cand premature action presented to, and significant in the complex of the size of \$30 for the first day subsection A, 33-1414 subsection C. This cand premature action presented to, and significant in the complex of the size of	that is due is for the remaining rent, and Not On line 5, the commercial property box is not required on a commercial lease. Line 6 alleges that no rental agreement ever offered or signed] and goes on then \$10 a day there after. This violated A.R.S. 33-1371 complaint was wrongfully issued. An incorrect document
went into the courtroom of Judge appeared and with no records at all, and he was 5-day notice and fax it that same day and to we were here. I indicated that it was unexplained intended on paying the remaining served with the trial summons. I refrained, due the complaint, Judge asked asked I had brought all my received and accepted by Judge signed a Judgment against me. I did provisions outlined by A.R.S. Is it not the Landlord/Tenant Act? If I had been giving a had the growing and the summons. I had been giving a had the summons outlined by A.R.S. Is it not the Landlord/Tenant Act? If I had been giving a had the summons of the s	The Judge told me that had was allowing to go and retrieve the alleged bring in the original one the next day, then asked me why ined to me, that I had not been served a 5-day notice and rent and rent at or around the time I was e to the document that had been served to me. Looking at d why we were even there if complaint was filed on the eipts to court with me, and showed the two receipts for copies of my receipts and told me to go home, and that led me to believe that the complaint was dismissed. Later

ARIA .	Page 2	5
w	Page /	

CJC - 06 - 023 January 24, 2006

Constable came to remove me from my apartment on The next day I went down to
around 9:30 or 10:00AM. I
requested to see case and asked how this could have happened. I was told that the
office was moving and that the files were already moved along with case file On the following Monday
at the Justice Court office would be the soonest I could get a copy of my file. In the
meantime, I had been locked out of my apartment and separated from my belongings. Now
and his son having sole access to apartment and my personal property, I still to this date
have never seen all of my belongings again. Following Landlord/Tenant Act and the help from
Police, I have retrieved about two thirds of my personal property from my old apartment.
Some of my property had been removed prior to my first entrance of my old apartment and on
removing my belongings off the premises, the return of second trip to storage, I discovered the majority
of my remaining personal property in the apartment to have been removed and was no where on the
entire property. At that time, I called Police to make a report. Just this last week learned that
my department store cards (JCpenny's&Dillards), which I had, zeroed, balance now have been maxed
out. These consequences are what I have suffered and had to deal with. The Judge made a Judgment
on a premature, incorrect complaint. Signed the Judgment with out my presence or knowledge based
on a newly concocted faxed 5-day, where clearly the Landlord was making up amounts, none of which
abide by the Landlord/Tenant Act. The 5-day and the Summons Complaint show the Landlord has not
complied with the A.R.S. The judge at that time, knowing I had brought all my previous months
receipts, and copying receipts, signed a Judgment against me. On the soonest I
could get a copy of my file, studying it along with the Landlord/Tenant Act, learned that it was pass the
time that is allowed to appeal. Justice Courts call this due process? I have respect for the Judicial
System, and trust that all Judges make fair and unbiased decisions. However, this court seems to favor
Landlord's in Landlord-Tenant disputes. My who was an Arizona
Supreme Court Justice for thirteen years and one of Arizona's' Founding Fathers, would be appalled at
the travesty of Justice I received from this Court and this Judge. I am filing this complaint against the
Judge
Sincerely,