

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-024

Complainant:

No. 1277400224A

Judge:

No. 1277400224B

ORDER

An initial review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are either legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

The record indicates that the judge allowed the complainant's former attorney to testify because the complainant and her spouse were disputing the existence of a settlement agreement. The decision to allow the testimony was legal in nature. The judge's error in entering a wrong amount in the judgment was clerical and was corrected by the judge upon request of the complainant.

Because the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: February 14, 2006.

For the Commission

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 14, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-06-024

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name Judge's name Date: 1-27-06

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On 12:30 PM there was a Evidentiary hearing held in Judge Courtroom. At this hearing Judge waived our attorney-client priv. lege on the grounds "that our lawyer nevered settled the case with (see ex A line 9 through 16). Arizona law 18-2034 A. clearly states "In a civil action an attorney shall not without the consent of his/her client, be examined as to any communication the client to him." That is the first misconduct act he did. Then the Ruling he entered as an official Ruling of this case is that did settle this matter and the breached the Settlement Agreement. This Ruling totally goes against the Reason he waived our Attorney/Client Privilege. The second misconduct Act done by this Judge was when he ruled he ordered one judgement for then he signed another judgement for both were signed and docted (see ex B through E.) Then there was a Judgement put on our house in the amount of \$20 with the County Recorders office. (see ex F) We made a number of phone calls to his office because we never recieved a signed judgement they said that the minute entry we recieved is the

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Supplemental Page no. 2

CJC-06-024

Judgement. So we did not question it anymore until early [redacted] when we went to sell our home and did a search on the computer to see if our taxes had been paid. We found there was a [redacted] judgement against our home. Of course we contacted the judge and he said that we had to file a motion to ask the court to reconsider the judgement. That we did on [redacted]. We waited until [redacted] to receive his new ruling that stated he erred in signing the judgement for \$ [redacted]. We were still held to pay interest for the days he took to rule on is error. Not to mention he gave the defense a longer time to reply to our motion. This judge should be held to higher standards. They were given this position to rule by the law and coincidence. When they make a mistake and then makes us pay for it. Not Right. You may contact us At [redacted] or by mail [redacted]. We hope you will take the action needed to correct these issues.