

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-040

Complainant:

No. 1278510098A

Judge:

No. 1278510098B

ORDER

An initial review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

It is clear on the face of the complaint that the complainant wants to overturn the judge's ruling. The complainant alleges that the judge made a wrong decision that forced her to leave the house in which she had been living. The commission, however, is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: February 21, 2006.

For the Commission

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 21, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-06-040

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: [redacted] Judge's name: [redacted] Date: 1-15-2006

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

WENT TO COURT BEFORE JUDGE [redacted] ON [redacted] AT 10:30 AM REGARDING ESTATE OF MY DECEASED SON [redacted]. I WAS APPOINTED SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR OF ESTATE OF [redacted] (APPROVED BY JUDGE [redacted] ON [redacted]). ON [redacted] A DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT BY MY ATTORNEYS, THIS INCLUDED THE FACT THAT [redacted] OWED MONEY TO THE IRS AND A LIST OF WITNESSES THAT WOULD TESTIFY IN [redacted] BEHALF. A DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND DEPOSITION WAS ALSO SUBMITTED BY [redacted] ATTORNEYS. ON [redacted] JUDGE [redacted] MINUTE ENTRY STATED SINCE [redacted] HAD OWED THE IRS MONEY - THE PROPERTY HE LIVED IN WAS IN HIS FATHER'S NAME - THAT [redacted] HAD SAID SHE KNEW THE PROPERTY BELONGED TO [redacted] ([redacted] FATHER) - THAT I HAD KNOWN THIS ALL ALONG - WE ALL "DEFRAUDED THE IRS" AND HAD "UNCLEAN HANDS. JUDGE [redacted] REFUSED TO LISTEN TO ANY WITNESS INCLUDING [redacted] BOOKKEEPER. FROM THIS MOMENT ON (FOR TWO YEARS HE WANTED THE IRS INVOLVED TO TAKE WHAT WAS OWED THEM, [redacted] TESTIFIED THAT THE PROPERTY WAS [redacted] AND THAT WE ALL KNEW THAT - SHE LIED. MY DAUGHTER HAS ALREADY WRITTEN TO THE STATE BAR TO REPORT THAT LIE.

PAGE 2

CJC-06-040

HAD JUDGE [REDACTED] LET THE CASE PROCEED
ALL THE TRUTH WOULD HAVE COME OUT.
HIS INSISTANCE THAT THE IRS COME IN
AND "TAKE WHAT WAS THEIRS"

THIS DRAGGED ON UNTIL [REDACTED]
THE IRS NOTIFIED THE COURT THAT THEY
WANTED NOTHING FROM [REDACTED] (THE PROPERTY
BEING IN HIS NAME)
"IN THE EVENT MONEY BECOMES AVAILABLE
TO THE ESTATE OF [REDACTED], THE
IRS WILL MAKE A CLAIM WITH THE PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE."

JUDGE [REDACTED] NEVER LET THE CASE PROCEED SO
THAT THE IRS COULD LOOK INTO THE ESTATE
AFTER IT WAS IN COURT TO SEE IF ANYTHING
WAS OWED.

JUDGE [REDACTED] BECAME JUDGE AND JURY
DEEMED US ALL GUILTY, INCLUDING MY
DEAD SON — NONE OF US COULD DEFEND OURSELVES
FROM HIM — AND [REDACTED] CAME AWAY
WITH A PIECE OF PROPERTY WORTH \$ [REDACTED]
FREE AND CLEAN WITHOUT EVER PAYING ONE
CENT ON THE MORTGAGE. "TAINTED" MY ATTORNEY
CALLED HIM.

I ALSO HAVE BEEN EVICTED FROM THE HOME
I SPENT WITH MY SON FOR THREE YEARS
PRIOR TO HIS DEATH.