

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 06-054

---

Complainant: No. 1279310110A

Judge: No. 1279310110B

---

**ORDER**

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that there was no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complainant alleged that the judge demonstrated bias against him during an injunction hearing by allowing hearsay evidence and by telling him that he had psychological problems and needed help. After listening to the recording of the hearing and considering the judge's response to the complaint, the commission found that, even though the judge was stern with the complainant and gave the opposing party legal advice regarding an order, she was not biased and did not clearly exceed her judicial authority.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 11, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 11, 2006.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-054

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:  Judge's name:  Date: 16 Feb 06

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On  about 10am I was defending myself against an instruction for Harassment. The judge said to me that I had Physiological problems and that I needed help. She also stated that I should get a lawyer because my career is on the line. The plaintiffs stated that there was no direct contact ever made by me to them. At that moment the case should have been dismissed because of Rafteriew v Corhill which states there has to be a series of events to take place and has to be direct contact in order to constitute harassment. The judge let it go on and let them damage my character. She also stated that I am not allowed to say things which my 1st Admendment gives me freedom of speech as long as I don't slander someone. She allowed hearsay evidence be used against me. She told them to use that information against me now they took a step further and have put my military career on the line.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)