## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 06-077		
Complainant:		No.	1281210629A
Judge:		No.	1281210629B

## ORDER

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct. The complainant contends that the judge improperly granted a petition to commit him to the state hospital. The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: April 4, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 4, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-06-077

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 3/11/2006	
Instructions: Describe in your own wor provide all of the important names, date plain paper of the same size to explain you back of any page. You may attach copies	s, times and places related to your our complaint, and you may attach	complaint. You can use this form or additional pages. Do not write on the	
<u>On</u>		da hearing, to	
N. SOLONIA CO. C.	it me in the sta that hearing, th		
	use he was sidi		
	who testified		
The psy	chiatrist had r	no concrete	
The state of the s	nor basis for t	the state of the s	
^		t my character,	
	vitnesses, who are, was fabrica		
Jud		, was	
not open	1880 - January Brahman and Brahman and Santan and Santan and Santan and Santan and Santan and Santan Santan Sa	d to say, which	
Was the	absolute truth ne judge was no		
his deci	sion-making.	s. perice in	
,	do not have a r	mental illness.	
		Name of the second seco	
	And the second s		
	to a control of the second		
(Attach additional sheets as needed)			