State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-082

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1281910246A

No. 1281910246B

ORDER

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that there was no misconduct on the part of the judge.

The defendant in a domestic violence case alleged that the judge was racially biased, colluded with the state, engaged in improper extra-judicial activities, and made erroneous rulings. There is, however, no evidence that the judge is racially or sexually biased, or that the judge's extra-judicial activities are unethical.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 27, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 27, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

June 10, 2005

GJC-06-082

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007



Dear Commission on Judicial Conduct:

I'm very upset at the outcome of this case. In this case, the judge, prosecutor and the _____ Police department willfully and maliciously participated in his conspiracy against me with the pretentious co-conspirators in this case based on racial bias and personal perceptions.

This case was a cleverly designed show and plot of judicial incompetence, graft and corruption by all the individuals listed by lead the orbiter, the judge who used the boundaries off the law to not prosecute me but to prostitute me for her and the prosecutor's personal gain and to satisfy their thirst for injustice against a minority.

The witness and the victim's story did not match, the judge made decisions about certain physical locations pertaining to this case and not familiar nor does she live in the area. The evidence that I found was examined and the _____ Police never secured the crime scene. In addition to that, how can she make a decision without knowing the specifics of the area. How can see make legal decision when the victim and co-victim's stories did not match? These and the other numerous mistakes she made are what sent this case to appeal.

The case also featured an array of obstruction and why have a female judge and prosecutor in front of a DV case is like a female judge and prosecutor, presiding over a child custody case. The outcome is the same, the female always wins.

The judge has violated Canons 1,2, and 3 of ASC Rule 81. The judge did not avoid impropriety or the appearance of impropriety in her activities. Her personal and social relationships with the prosecutor and other members off the ______ Prosecutors office have influenced the judge's judicial conduct and clouded her judgment. This judge also lend the prestige of the judicial office to advance the private interest of herself and her friends. She also conveyed the impression to the prosecutor, victim, witness, ______ Police and Prosecutor's office that they were all in "special position". All of this can be seen in the case transcripts and

CJC-06-082

the court's video and audio. This judge also did not maintain the standards and prestige of the judicial office. But used the illegitimate judicial function as a platform for racial prejudice, preferential treatment to all parties involved and used her position of authority to appease her friends in the _____ Prosecutors office and _____ Police. Again, there were numerous missteps in the course of this case. Because of this the judge's ability to carry out honest judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence were severely impaired.

be when

The judge invoked invidious discrimination against me based on my race and national origin and the fact the victim and witness were _____ The judges perceptions were indeed compromised.

The judge, victim, the members of the Prosecutors office and the Police have also violated my civil rights. All off these actions in court displayed the outwardly appearance of impropriety and diminished my confidence with integrity and impartiality of the judiciary. In other words, there was no way that would have received a fair trial. Your court is very selected on how certain people are prosecuted. It is differently based on race, financial status, who you know, etc, etc. (i.e., your specialty courts for When you check this judge and prosecutors conviction (stats), the rate for lis higher. Wouldn't his be an important indicator that there's a problem?? Because of her (the judge) prior and current relationship with the prosecutor and other members of the Prosecutors office, should have disgualified herself from this case. I also observed this judge doing the following behavior, she would listen to the prosecutor intently and with direct eye to eye contact. When my attorney talked and complained about many of the judge's unfair decisions, she would look away and then surf the internet. This is not fair!!!!

I've spoke to other individuals who've come before her and they have all to me the same thing about her body language, direct eye to eye contact with the prosecutor and less interest in the summation by the defendant's attorney. This judge did not perform her judicial duties with bias but with good old Arizona prejudice. I didn't have to speak and I was automatically judged by race, the way I look and my socioeconomic status. Time and time again, the judge has used "judicial racial profiling" in this case. Her with the help of the prosecutor created a very intimidating environment.

Facial expressions and body language, oral communication give the prosecutor, my attorney and the other cohorts, the blatant appearance of judicial bias. You could see her favoritism. Her acts were openly perceived as prejudicial. She openly permitted the prosecutor to run this case. No one else mattered accept the prosecutor. This judge was not fair and is racist and corrupt and something really needs to be done about this behavior. This judge used promises and personal friendship commitments to the prosecutors and their office that were inconsistent with the impartial performance of the adjudicate duties of the office.

CJ:C-06-082

The judge, prosecutor, her boss and the Police totally ignored the fact that they not only represent the state of Arizona but also work for me because I am also part of the state of Arizona. I was treated like an outsider. These actions consisted of defamation of character, career ending allegations and racial prejudices. All of these factors interfered and definitely hampered the judge's impartiality.

Again, this judge has a personal bias and prejudice concerning me and my lawyer and had personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceedings. There was and is a know conflict of interest in these proceedings.

I want the Arizona Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee to really investigate this and not treat this judge as a member of the "Good old Boys" and "Good Old Girls" network. I want the same treatment and entitlement aspect that this court and the others in the Arizona offers too "certain" people. You can't let this person continue to preside over cases where she has control over people's lives, where because of her bias, she destroys lives.

Once you have found these and other violations as definitely valid, I want the following:

1. Written admonition

10 . 10 . 20

- 2. Private and public reprimand
- 3. Suspension and disbarment

I truly want an investigation to the full extent regarding this matter.

All correspondence regarding this matter should be directed to my attorney's attention:



