

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-110

Complainant: No. 1284000239A

Judge: No. 1284000239B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant alleged that the judge was biased and prejudged the case. The commission's investigation did not substantiate the claims.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 27, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on June 27, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

April 17, 2006

CJC-06-110

Case No. [REDACTED]

District [REDACTED]

Judge [REDACTED] prejudged the case. I, [REDACTED] was suing an attorney, [REDACTED] for malpractice in civil court.

Judge [REDACTED] called my attorney, [REDACTED] and the attorney for the defense, [REDACTED] into his chambers before the trial started. He told them both that he was going to see to it that I lost. He also said that he was going to make the instructions to the jury so difficult that if they were dumb enough to decide for me that he would set the verdict aside.

Of course, my attorney should have informed me of this right away. He should also have stopped the trial and put in a motion for a new judge. He didn't tell me until after the trial was over. The entire trial went on with both attorneys knowing the outcome beforehand. This most likely changed the behavior of both attorneys. [REDACTED] constantly objected to everything my witnesses tried to say, knowing the judge would back him and sustain his objections.

I was outraged over the judge's behavior when I learned of this and I'm outraged that my attorney didn't tell me. I realize that nothing can be done about my case, but this judge should never be allowed to sit on the bench again.