## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

|              | Disposition of Complaint 06-113 |             |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Complainant: | No.                             | 1284410641A |
| Judge:       | No.                             | 1284410641B |

## ORDER

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are legal questions involving the judge's discretion and are outside the commission's jurisdiction.

Since the commission is not a court, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 2, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 2, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-06-113

| This is a case that comes from the family court of Judge                             | Superior Court     |  |
|--|--------------------|--|
| with in the State Of Arizona. I filed this motion for one reason my children         |                    |  |
| and, I was told by the court I was a danger to my t                                  | wo children, But   |  |
| not once did the court prove it or even tried', I was told I must do a Reunification |                    |  |
| program with my daughter so that I could see her, and I did, I was                   | also told I had to |  |
| under take a Psychiatric Evaluation and I did, I was also told I nee                 | ed to attend a     |  |
| Batterers Intervention Program, and according to Arizona Health Department           |                    |  |
| Behavioral Health the Batterers Intervention program is the same as Domestic         |                    |  |
| Violence program and in which I did 17 classes with Jewish Family Service's But that |                    |  |
| one never made any sense for the ten (10) years of marriage to my ex-wife NOT once   |                    |  |
| was there any Domestic Violence in our relationship                                  |                    |  |
|  |                    |  |

## Summery

| I have not seen my children in over a year, the mother has moved and I am not       |
|---|
| allowed to know where they are, I'm not allowed to know where they go to school,    |
| sport events, report cards, medical problems, when talking to them on the phone she |
| is right there to in sure they don't say the wrong thing, the mother has            |
| kept the children with the knowledge of Judge from any contact with the             |
| fathers Mother, Brothers, Sisters, Grandmothers, Aunts, Uncles, and most of all     |
| there half siblings . In the ruling dated Hon ruled that                            |
| petitioner shall have supervised parenting time with his two minor                  |
| children and that the court would consider  |
| vacating its order when the father undergoes a Psychological                        |
| Evaluation with at fathers own Expense . In contacting                              |
| Office the Evaluation would cost and they did not take insurance it                 |
| was cash only, and at the time of Judge ruling I was not employed and still         |
| today am not employed do to medical problems. In the last year I have gone through  |
| extensive counseling on my own behalf to show the court that I was capable of       |

| caring for my children, but not once was it good enough for the court, I have motion  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| the Judge twice asking that she allow me to seek the order of a Psychological         |  |  |
| Evaluation done thought my personal insurance carrier for they would pay 100% of      |  |  |
| the cost and every time the Judge denied my motion saying I must bare the cost and    |  |  |
| go to what the Judge has done is become very discriminatory with                      |  |  |
| her rulings in this case, I have appealed to the Arizona Appeals court two time's but |  |  |
| they have Denying me both times stating that they had No Jurisdiction,                |  |  |
|   |  |  |

I was always under the impression the family court was here to help bring family's together and to rebuild lives but in the event always to do what was in the best interest of all involved, Not to discourage or keep parents from there children. The court knows that I do not have the funds to pay for these services at which I need to be allowed to see my children. So I have asked the Arizona Supreme Court to review this motion and to make a ruling that (A) The Superior Court pay for the services to see or (B) allow father to get services where his personal insurance will cover the cost. The point is I have health insurance that will pay for things like this; Judge has punished me for having health insurance.

And put ever wall in front of me from seeing my children, she has played the ultimate God and that is not what family courts mission is all about.