

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-132

Complainant: No. 1073510052A

Judge: No. 1073510052B

ORDER

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

It is clear on the face of the complaint that the complainant is seeking to overturn the judge's ruling. Because the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 24, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 24, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

CJC-06-132

Your name: [] Judge's name: [] Date: January 29th, 2006

This complaint is regarding []
in [] Superior Court, [] Arizona.

Complaint

Via this complaint, I am asserting that Judge [] lied in a minute entry and **deliberately misrepresented factual data** for the purpose of denying my son release pursuant to recent law set by case precedent. And, that [] is doing so in retaliation against me for having filed complaints against him in the past, and in order to continue the cover-up of his own wrong-doing in this case from the beginning.

In his minute entry, dated [] and signed by [] then filed with the clerk [] stated that my son's mandate on direct appeal was issued on [] when it was **not** issued until [] **It could not have been issued in [] because it was appealed to the Arizona Supreme Court.** The only [] date is Appeals Court's correction "by interlineation per order dated []

This fact was material because the issue was when the conviction became final and whether or not it had become final at a specific point in time. [] chose to lie about the facts. The conviction became final in state court upon issuance of the mandate in []

Because [] has been allowed to get away with all his wrongdoing in the past, he continues to lie about the facts, misrepresent the facts, twist and distort the truth in his minute entries as he has done in this instance for the sole purpose of keeping a person incarcerated and to retaliate, and exercise his bias against [] He should not have to lie to do so, and that should not be allowed under any circumstances. I am asserting that [] is without the morals required to be a judge, as this instance clearly supports.

Attached is a copy of the mandate which issued on [] signed by [] Clerk of the Court for Court of Appeals []

Sincerely,

[]

- Attachments: 1. Court of Appeals [] Mandate for []
- 2. [] Ruling in Chambers signed by [] in which he misrepresents the facts as to the date, etc.

addendum: [] post-conviction rights were violated and he ~~remains~~ remains incarcerated during the two years on parole, which is in controversy