

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-134

Complainant: No. 0286310049A

Judge: No. 0286310049B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: September 15, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 15, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-134

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: Judge's name: Date: May 17, 2006

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I am filing this complaint against Justice of the Peace because I believe his conduct in my case was rude, inconsiderate and unprofessional. I appeared in this court regarding a traffic ticket I had received. I felt the circumstances leading to this ticket were inaccurate and incorrect. I had prepared information depicting the location of the incident but this justice of the peace refused to look at, read or consider any of the evidence I had in my case. No reasonable explanation exists for a judge to deny me the opportunity to put on a full defense forward on my part. I was totally denied this right, I was treated badly by this judge. His behavior was not fair and impartial. This judge absolutely refused to consider any of the materials I had prepared and seemed to have judged me guilty without the opportunity to consider my position and exhibits. This judge's conduct denied me my day in court to present my case in a fair and impartial manner. The judge never questioned the officer about the credibility of his alleged facts and did not allow me the opportunity to question the officer adequately regarding his decision to cite me for the reasons he originally stopped me.

I am attaching to this complaint copies of documents that I was not permitted to submit when I appeared in the justice court and believe that if an impartial review of the facts surrounding this incident were permitted the outcome would be very different. I have no objection to taking responsibility for something I did that was wrong, but in this case I was not treated fairly or given an opportunity to adequately defend myself in this case.

I do not believe that this judge permitted me to discuss my side of the events that lead to my court appearance. The judge acted like his mind was made up before I said or tried to do anything. His behavior was wrong and affected my basic civil rights when in the courtroom. Behavior and comments like those that I was subjected to should not have happened to me. All I wanted to do was state the facts as I knew them to be. This judge denied me that opportunity. His

CJC-06-134

decision was a very poor one and he should be held accountable for what he denied me in my case. I believe that because this judge violated my civil rights and denied me my full and complete opportunity to present the facts in my case, this judgment and fine against me should be set aside and a new hearing, with a new judge, should be ordered in this matter. Only then would I feel that presenting my case in an impartial manner, without the appearance of as predetermined decision, would I feel I have been treated fairly. I have included additional information for your review and consideration. I remain available to respond, appear or answer any questions you may have in this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,



Enclosures