State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Di	sposition of Complaint 06-137	
Complainant:	No.	1286400583A
Judge:	No.	1286400583B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature. If a judge makes an incorrect ruling or misinterprets the evidence, the correct remedy is by appeal to a higher court, which has jurisdiction to review the facts in a given case.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 25, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 25, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS CJC-06-137

Instructions: Please use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. In your own words, describe specifically what the judge did that you believe is misconduct. You should provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint, but you do not need to cite the applicable canons of judicial conduct. Although you may attach additional pages, do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you feel will help us understand your complaint.

note to directioning your complaints			_
Your name	Judge's name		Date: 5-16-0
I recieved a Sumr	nons to cor	ne to court	
	tain on n	Prop.	
wastrue, Twent	- to the cour	tfiled out p	a Devis
to let the judge	Know, Iuas		Le ,
disability to he	to with par	iments. The	Insurance
name, is		1 1/	rad
arthoched to and to	rmu	card.	- had
been in Sever	al autoa	ecident sir	0006 30
had was having	a hard ti	me getting o	around
and functioning	() V	Fuasadiv	
through childy	Custody for		rce.
50 Some mone	y was sto	ppea.	
Tasked the judo	LIF ICO	buld file -	his .
claim, or of	ot in with	h myek-	husband
he said ho:	The car	accident,	dia
not pay they	had no i	nsurance,	
		111	
Sorial	the judge.	should of	
allowed me totil	e the dai	m at this	late
date it is hav	rd becau	the same of the sa	loctor
who treated v	nd in a	2000, for	
look injuries l	nad accor	cascol,	
*	<u> </u>		
The Judgement		not pave	08,
	USO IV		Contraction in the party of the contraction of the
through;		-disa	bitity
Through			
1.16		< 1 / 1/2	2/_
Suge		2010111	0,
(At	tach additional sheets as r	needed)	
Im proper	1		