State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 06-171	
Complainant:	No.	1289510481A
Judge:	No.	1289510481B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge was not a personal friend of the plaintiff in the case.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 2, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 2, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

JUN 2 7 2006 CJC = 06 = 171 June 19, 2006 olved, and have a long history regarding a defendant of and it is no less than a and in the County Sheriff's Jail for; Assault on any resisting arrest and a DUI. de of circumstances are involved. of a justice of the peace.
OJC = 06 = 171 June 19, 2006 olved, and have a long history regarding a defendant of
OJC = 06 = 171 June 19, 2006 olved, and have a long history regarding a defendant of
olved, and have a long history regarding a defendant of and it is no less than a regarding and it is no less than a red in the County Sheriff's Jail for; Assault on ay resisting arrest and a DUI. de of circumstances are involved. of a justice of the peace.
olved, and have a long history regarding a defendant of and it is no less than a regarding and it is no less than a red in the County Sheriff's Jail for; Assault on ay resisting arrest and a DUI. de of circumstances are involved. of a justice of the peace.
regarding and it is no less than a and it is n
regarding and it is no less than a ed in the County Sheriff's Jail for; Assault on ay resisting arrest and a DUI. de of circumstances are involved. of a justice of the peace.
cd in theCounty Sheriff's Jail for; Assault on ay resisting arrest and a DUI. de of circumstances are involved. ofa justice of the peace.
of a justice of the peace.
purchased his cattle grazing lease to the complaint on record on the contrary, several are on and his friends and employees which I am
hearing that testified to erroneous and non-supportive
Ranch and shortly after that time he began to le out walking for my open heart surgery recovery on the corner of my property fence and cause it to collap- vail, in the end I finally decided to take a portion of a ty, none the less Judge addressed the issue in
ince with a severe back disability.
worked side by side with years or so ago and is a relative to father o
il to

6/19/2006

it is my understanding that he will be charged and is plea bargaining with the Assistant District Attorney which he is to plead guilty to.
also showed pictures of his person that showed massive bruising about his extremities that the Judge
thought I had caused, was ran over by a cow and that is how he got the bruising, not by me. I sustained
injuries from on when he grab my lapel and I tripped and struck my left forearm on the cab
of his truck. At the time had caused my wife and I so much distress for an hour and a half, that when I
was speaking to the dispatcher I stated that if he was not going to be arrested that I wanted to make a citizens arrest.
One of the persons that and Judge used as an interpreter, names for a witness
named and was later a witness against me for another erroneous accusation, regarding me
being on his property with a side arm and alleging that I was acting as though I was angry over something that I
have no idea what they where accusing me of.
One witness names was introduced as a witness and is a very good friend of and
works the cattle for the family and is an employee of the
Office. His testimony was discrediting and the Judge dismissed it. I have no idea if it was considered or
not as consideration for the injunction.
In the situation regarding the removed fence, tried to have another witness named
testify against me when I approached them at my corner when he was shouting at me for removing the fence and
stated that I was marching up on them with my gun slung over my shoulder and he described me as thought
I was a member of the armed forces. Here again Made the demands and threats against me and no
complaint has ever been produced against me with the local law enforcement departments. The statements by both are lies and is the one who made threats to me. I had no witnesses in
my behalf except my own solemn word and the almighty, I did not feel that I would need a witness and I did not
have one.
nave one.
When I appeared at the Court House I went to Judge office because that is where I was told
that the injunction would take place by his clerk when I called in early that morning. The next thing I am told is that
it would be in a Court room, and it was and he had a half a dozen witnesses and the proceedings where
very much one sided.
At some point in the hearing I requested a recess so that I could retain council, later I spoke with my attorney and
he told me I did not need counsel for this injunction, so I returned to the Court and the hearing was resumed and
again witnesses stated false testimony, I objected and asked for a dismissal of the matter based on a strong reason
that on the night before the hearing on had violated a restraining order
I had against him that was issued by Judge regarding a harassment order he issued Just after the
arrest of Judge stated to me that I had to go to the Sheriff's of and have them
handle it, even thought admitted that he deliberately put himself at a gate that he new my wife and I had
installed and had the road cindered at a cost of approx, \$1,000.00. I had advised the Manager of State Trust Land
that we would not be using the questioned original entrance that had been used by us and all the
other property owners for years. I also advised the Sheriff's Office of the same notification and
described in detail the new route of travel that we would be using. A report to Deputy was sent by
fax/e-mail of the incident.
From my position I find it hard to believe that Judge allowed some of the testimony regarding the
injunction that is at the District Attorney's Office assigned to Assistant District Attorney
I spoke to several people and can not find out why Judge did not state a conflict situation existed and at this
time I am requesting that he be RECUSED, from this injunction and that the injunction be withdrawn.
Indeed has vacantly issued a court order to look and close the nate that
Judge has recently issued a court order to lock and close the gate that has stated is continuously being used by unauthorized property owners and stated that some of his cows have been killed at that
location which is a lie as is just about everything else that states and testifies to.

Since the incident of	with	and after extensive	research and surveys of the	questioned
entrance to the		and thr	ee others at	
	The present enti	rance is illegal it crosses Pri		and
		land Dept. and to Judge	have been disregarded	l, the Arizona
Game and Fish Dept. has			has over ruled that th	e Game and Fish
Dept, answers to his dept.	In an advisory sta	atement to him from myself	that has car	used a great many
		ing access to their property,		
property owners to redired	t the access in to	all the properties through to	vo separate private property	parcels which
has taken eight months to	accomplish and i	is now in it's final stages of	completion. It will be finish	ed this week.
eiro profes				
It is my contention that	inte	ended to create so many pro	blems for the property owne	ers that they all
would sell out and move a	way, the current	Road development has unite	d the property owners and p	out the road on
		only those who have contrib		
to find the road that		ubdivision road" and use it o		
figure of approx, \$ 7,000.			v in and needs to use the co	The second secon
		e Trust Land that	said he can use,	Control of the Contro
pays for it.		ave permission to use any of	the Private Property Roads	according to the
Property owners he will n	ot be allowed any	kina of passage.		
My wife and I decided to	ramona ourealnos	from the problems that	has caused us a	ud wa sald ava
Ranch at a loss and move			finishes with the Crim	
		in Court against him for ou		inui cuse againsi
1 mil inc	i tune cirii uciion	in Court against nim for of	ii jinunciii iosses.	
Please contact me regardi	ng this investigat	ion on the ethics concerns I	have advised you of and pla	ease acknowledge
		nind keep me abreast of you		man menine // tenge
de de		*	•	
In the future as things ma	y develop I will be	e forwarding you more mate	erial.	
T4 1 1 (0)				
Sincerely,				
4				