State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 06-184		
Complainant:	N	No.	1290610641A
Judge:	١	No.	1290610641B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature. If a judge makes an incorrect ruling or misinterprets the evidence, the correct remedy is by appeal to a higher court with appropriate jurisdiction. There was no evidence of gender bias against the complainant.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 18, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 18, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-06-184

Judge sttributed false statement directly to me which I did not rake regarding custody which resulted in punative damages totaling thousands of dollars. It is difficult to discern what would motivate a judge who is supposed to be importial to assign words to a person which they did not speak and then use those words in a punative judgement egainst them. Given the judges gender there appears to be only one conclusion. She slso failed to social a parenting coordinate of the punction of the states:
"That Father told this court that the children wanted to spend equal time with him when the reality is much different".
This statement is so patently false that I am enclosing documentation in this correspondence testifying to its total lack of credability.
Juage sked me "Do you want custody of the children" I said yes
1. The hearing before Judge contains no such statement be me. (CD enclosed)
2. The custody evaluator Dr. includes no such statement be me. evaluation further misrepresent facts which bring his judgment into serious question and is being challenged my by me to the psychology board. (evaluation and response from attorney enclosed)
during this whole process ignored her own order by failing to assignored as parenting coordinator until after the trial in and relied exclusignely on for information regarding custody. (CD of (Order
4. The trial contains no such statement by me. I have reviewed copies of the CD and if you wish to examine them I will be glad to supply them, upon request.
On the CD Judge ordered equal access to the children although attorney argued against it without reason. Judge demonstrated her bias by stating this was to be a trial period for Yeu (meaning me). The judge provided her own testimony for the mother as caregiver withou questioning the principals. Mother was apparently not on trial although Mother had oldest son later sent to jail.

CJC-06-184

Judge ordered joint cust dy, but initially a signed both Social security checks for the children (eerned by husband) to the wife and changed this only when challenged by the attorney for Bach perent was assigned dollars for two children. I sent dollars a month to and spent of my children every month. There were no assues of narental fitness. Judge said "equal access is fine with me" As indicated on the GD I had to purchase many items for the children. The ex-wife had removed and continued to rem ve furniture and all the childrens possession from the home. This was and is a clear violation of the statute. was assigned to oversee this removal, but failed to comply with the promise she made to the court resulting in future problems, but this also was ignored by the court. I made pictures of the devestation this woman left behind (copies enclosed).
I took the money Judge essigned from my social securty and purchased heds, bedelothes, tenn's lessons, medical, and olothes food and other necessary items in accord with Judge order and provided a comfortable environment for them. I filled out the SS forms from the federal government indicating expenditures to conform to the order. (conies enclosed)
I wanted the boyds to have the opportunity to decide on custody based on experience. This never happened because by the time saw Dr he was on drugs and wanted to stay with mother and younger brother followed his lead while in his mothers outlook was arrested and sent to for incerceration.
After meeting with Dr. neither boy was consistent about observing custody because apparently told them they did not have to observe custody following the court order. notes in the enclosed report that observed custody while did only 50% of the time. This was a period from the trial. It is clear mether decided when because is and this was a chedule set up by an edult. downslayed drug usage. was never assigned and never brought in in any capacity until by the court after remort was used as the singular basis for decision making although was assigned in by Judge (CD) Dr. report and the request from Attorney.
requesting a re-interview was apparently dismissed by does not sustain the quote attributes to me in her decision. It is not in his report

CJC-06-184

Further, the trial transsript does not indicate the assertion dudge sescribes to me. I reviewed these tanes and would be glad to supply them if there is some question.
In review, I have followed every order of the court prior to the final decision of my ex-wife and children failed in this regard.
Judge resulting action is a retroactive decision of temporary orders granting wifes request for dollars in social security checks which father kept (sic) since "Wife shall be givenx and equalization credit of dollars in the final accounting".
This noney was not "kept" (sic) but spent to provide food, housin beds, medical, tennis lessons and clothes as directed by Judge in her temporary ofders.
Now comes Judge saying I misrepresented or lied to her in my statements. There is not a single scrap of evidence in any of the proceedings which justify her statement whe attributes to me. Not on not in report, not at the trial.
This judge needs to be consured and this judgement she made is totally unjust and should be rescinded. Surely there is some accountability in the judicial system.
I attempted to get my attorney to being this to the attention of the court but is is increasingly clear that my attorney is thrimidated by Judge because of her authority. I enclose copies of my request to attorney which went ignored.
Further, Judge instituted a 2nd nunstive fine of \$ dollars against me for actions attributable only to my attorneys further demonstrating her unreasonable bias in this case.
I applicate for the form of my protest, but when my wife took everything from the house in violation of the law (which was never resolved) she took both computers.
Thank you for your consideration and I look forward to hearing from you.
Sincerely