## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 06-218	
Complainant:	No.	1293310663A
Judge:	No.	1293310663B

## ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge was warned, however, to avoid any conduct that might suggest an appearance of impropriety.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 21, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 21, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

To Whom It May Concern,

CJC-06-218

My name is and I am the Respondent of case	On
at 9:00am in the Superior Courthouse,	_
before Judge Pro Tem I attended my custody hearing.	
over the case. My attorney found out on Monday afternoon that another Judge was to preside over the case on that Friday. The new Judge was NOT Pro Tem  but Pro Tem  By all accounts and knowledge, we were our case before Pro Tem  It was not until we arrived in Court at 9:00am for days later that Pro Tem  took the stand. This in itself began the feelings of	e to try
uneasiness in all of our minds and hearts.	
Throughout the entire proceedings, Judge Pro Tem acted very odds mannerisms were questionable, as well as several statements he made. As far as his behavior, he frequently checked and announced the time, rolled his eyes, had rude condescending tones when he spoke, sighed extremely loud, and laughed at remark would literally spin around in circles in his chair, much like a little child. He would disappear completely underneath his desk for minutes at a time, and then pop up or where. He turned his chair around so that it's back was facing the Court and would up at the ceiling. He also made jokes to the Court Clerk during the proceedings, an overly-friendly with the Petitioner's witnesses (i.e.: a Sheriff who has absolutely no relevance to the case at hand whatsoever. Only testifying to her work and never witnessed the Petitioner with the children once).	s and cs. He d also ut of no l stare ad was d
As far as actual statements made, The absolute worst one was when Judge Tem stated: "I already think I know what my ruling is going to be, to be greated with you folks. But let's get this done so that we can hear the rest and perhap change my mind. Let's go." (On page 179 of the Court Transcript). This statement said while the Petitioner (Mother) was still on the stand, and before I even had the to take the witness stand; and also before any of my other witnesses were able to the My attorney and I found this comment very confusing, and we were both astounded Pro Tem stated that "Surprise, Surprise" he was going offer each party closing arguments if they wanted it. My attorney had all intentions of closing statements, be Petitioner's counsel did not have any. Then, before allowing my Attorney a chance closing remarks, Pro Tem stated that "the Court did not need, and was not to entertain any remarks because he was making his ruling right then". He then eru into an outburst. He did not take a recess to his chambers to go over the facts and evidence of the trial, but rather exploded into a tirade with his arms flailing, and spliterally spewing from his mouth. He also slammed the admitted evidence down or desk, and jumped out of his seat. After what seemed like forever, Pro Tem stated that nothing was going to change, and then said that the Court was in "reces	was chance estify. d that pro gout e at his going apted oit in the

## CJC-06-218

left the Courtroom, jerking closed the door behind him. We were all very confused, and speechless. During the confusion, Pro Tem came storming back into the Courtroom to say that I would receive a judgment of from the Petitioner from cashed child support checks that were already ordered by the Court once for her to return, and that each party pays their own attorney's fees. He finally stormed out of the Courtroom a final time, and slammed the door behind him. He left several issues that were separate from custody not ruled upon and/or clarified. Including issues regarding the Primary Parent, Relocation of School, Petitioner's ban on alcohol, etc. None of these issues were ruled on, and/or clarified.
This kind of conduct and remarks are gross misconduct upon Pro Tem  behalf. He was biased, unjust, and a severe defiance and insubordination of the Judge's Code Of Judicial Conduct. He did not uphold himself with dignity, Fairness, and a fair and open mind. He also completely ignored own Conciliation Court report from A licensed counselor and employee of the  Conciliation Court. Enclosed in the report were hard facts, and immense concerns solely surrounding the Petitioner and her behavior, conduct, and possible addictions. The Conciliation Counselor recommended that I receive sole legal and physical custody of my children, and gave several recommendations to the Court about the Petitioner, including attending TASC for possible alcohol abuse, Anger Management classes, and possible supervised visitation with the children. He also totally ignored statements and concerns from a Police officer who was called as a witness, as well as a CPS worker who took the stand. Every one of them had concerns surrounding the safety of the children while in the Petitioner's care. But ALL of these professional recommendations from the  Conciliation Court, Police, as well as CPS were completely dismissed and ignored by Pro Tem  A fallacy of the Court system.
A few days after the Court hearing, My attorney found out that had been a Public Defender for the Court at the same exact time that the Petitioner, was employed by the Court. They had worked side by side, and had been acquaintances. Pro Tem had also been acquaintances with Petitioner's other witnesses, which explained his overly-friendly behavior to Petitioner's side and witnesses during the trial. This information made my heart sink, and confirmed every ill thought and sneaking suspicion that we had surrounding the trial and it's overall misconduct. Pro Tem should have immediately recused himself from the stand when acquaintances were before him, Yet he chose to remain the presiding Judge; and allowed himself to become biased and unjust. I personally feel that the appearance and ruling of Pro Tem was as a 'favor' to the Petitioner's side. All of the behavior, statements, actions, rulings, friendships, and information revealed afterwards all point to this logical conclusion.
I am truly saddened and disheartened by the actions of Judge Pro Tem  I have fought for 5 long years to get into Court and have a fair and unbiased trial. I only want my children safe from harm, and I have to continually fight for their own wants and wishes. I have done everything the correct and legal way, and moved forward

## CJC-06-218

to the direct actions of Pro Te pursuit of keeping my childre Attorney's fees. Life after the had to endure her punishment against the children themselve filed against me out of spite. It the Appeals process; one who and rule in a manner that will	Tet I feel that I was not allow m asking for. I am going thr m and have to continuate a safe from harm, and continuate a safe from h	red those things. I only want ough the Appeals process due inually lose precious time in the really add to my enormous with the Petitioner; As I have olling actions, Spiteful revenge fictitious accusations Petitioner in receive a just and fair Judge is ence presented before him/her