State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 06-222		
Complainant:		No.	1293710180A
Judge:		No.	1293710180B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. As a general rule, a judge's comments based on information the judge learned about in an earlier proceeding are not grounds for disqualification so long as a judge keeps an open mind about the outcome of the case.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 30, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 30, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS CJC-06-222

Instructions: Please use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. In your own words, describe specifically what the judge did that you believe is misconduct. You should provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint, but you do not need to cite the applicable canons of judicial conduct. Although you may attach additional pages, do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you feel will help us understand your complaint.

Your name:		Judge's name:		Date: 2/27/06
There are c	riminal cases	pending against both	of my sons	, and Judge
		both cases. My sons!		
and	-	-case-	is a pr	e-trial and
case	is a po	st-trial. This all to	ok place in	the Superior
Court of the s	tate of Arizo	na in		
short trial wa	S	and	trial i	s still pending.
Before the	defendant	trial i	n	Judge
also presided	over an Order	to Show Cause Hearin	ng in the ca	se of
			The h	nearing took place
in Superior co	urt of			
The i	ssue was temp	porary custody of the	child.	. This hearing had
absolutely not	hing to do wi	th my sons or the	fami	lly, but Judge
asked	if sh	ne was related to a		is the
sister of	Judge	asked if	7	vas related to
(*This is on p	age	OSC hearing tran	nscripts.)	
It was an o	pen court hea	aring and at the end	of the OSC 1	nearing in the
case, Jud	ge refer	cred to the		with a
slanderous com	ment. He said	i,"this		is a very
OTALIACT ONO COM				
	family probab	oly for generations.	And it may	be dysfunctional
dysfunctional		bly for generations.		we're dealing
dysfunctional on both sides.	Rut when you	u start to talk about		
dysfunctional on both sides.	Rut when you	that have serious pro		we're dealing
on both sides. with generation (*This is on r	Rut when you ons of people	that have serious pro	oblems."	we're dealing
on both sides. with generation (*This is on r	Rut when you ons of people pages	that have serious proof	oblems." ing transcr	we're dealing
on both sides. with generation (*This is on real to the clear formed certain	Rut when you ons of people pages	that have serious proof on record in this he	oblems." ing transcr aring that	we're dealing ipts.) Judge had ing the
on both sides. with generation (*This is on real to the clear formed certain	Rut when you ons of people pages Cly indicated prejudicial included my to	on record in this he impressions and opin	oblems." ing transcr aring that ions regard he pre-exis	we're dealing ipts.) Judge had ing the
dysfunctional on both sides. with generation (*This is on real triangle of the control of the co	Rut when you ons of people oages ly indicated prejudicial included my to	on record in this he impressions and opin	oblems." ing transcr aring that ions regard he pre-exis d the	we're dealing ipts.) Judge had ing the ting bias and he should

the therefore Judge had a judicial duty of disqualifying
himself from both of my sons' cases for judicial bias, but he did not.
Judge made no on-the-record effort of a Remittal of Disqualification.
Judge slandered my sons and the name in open court.
I am personally offended because my sons could not recieve a fair trial do
to judicial bias and prejudice. Judge had to recuse himself from
both of my sons' cases. Attorney, filed
a *MOTION FOR CHANGE OF JUDGE FOR CAUSE PURSUANT TO RULE 10.1, ARIZONA
RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE on and it was granted. A
new Judge was assigned to my son case No.
for cause. Attorney, filed a *PETITION FOR POST-
CONVICTION RELIEF and for a new judge due to judicial bias on
It was granted, and a new judge was assigned to my son
This all took place in Superior Court in
Arizona. I am filing a complaint against Judge for Judicial
bias and prejudice against my sons and the whole family. Judge
should have kept his opinions to himself and recused himself from both
of my sons' cases. Judge definitely committed <u>Judicial Misconduct</u> .
* Enclosed are copies of pages, from the OSC
hearing transcripts; a copy of the motion for change of judge for cause
for and copy of the petition for post conviction relief
for