

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 06-225

---

Complainant: No. 1290910348A

Judge: No. 1290910348B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature. If a judge makes an incorrect ruling, the correct remedy is to appeal to a court with appropriate jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 10, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 10, 2006.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

AUGUST 17, 2006

[REDACTED]

CJC-06-225

06-188

AUG 21 2006

TO: [REDACTED]

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT  
ARIZONA STATE COURTS BUILDING  
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, RM 229  
PHOENIX, AZ 85007

RE: REQUESTING AN INDEPENDANT INVESTIGATION INTO THE  
VIOLATION OF MY CIVIL RIGHTS.

[REDACTED]

I HOPE YOU ARE DOING WELL. I AM CONTACTING YOU  
WITH A SENSE OF URGENCY AND HOPING THAT YOU WILL  
INTERVENE ON MY BEHALF. PLEASE TAKE A FEW  
MINUTES TO READ MY LETTER.

THIS MORNING, [REDACTED] I WENT TO COURT AND  
I FELT UNCOMFORTABLE ABOUT THE JUDGES' CONDUCT,  
JUDGE, [REDACTED] DURING MY COURT APPEARANCE.

CJC-06-225

AS I HAD PETITIONED ON [REDACTED] FOR A CHANGE OF COUNSEL. JUDGE [REDACTED] DENIED MY REQUEST AGAIN TODAY IN COURT, IGNORING MY REASONS TO APPROVE MY MOTION. I HAVE PROVIDED GENUINE GROUNDS TO REQUEST A NEW PUBLIC DEFENDER BELOW:

1. FAILURE BY MY PUBLIC DEFENDER TO COMMUNICATE WITH ME IN A TIMELY AND EFFECTIVE MANNER.
2. FAILURE TO PROVIDE ME WITH COPIES OF ALL THE DISCOVERY IN MY CASE, GRAND JURY INDICTMENT TRANSCRIPTS AND ALL COURT TRANSCRIPTS, ETC.
3. FAILURE TO COMPETENTLY RESEARCH ALL LEGAL ISSUES AND EXERCISE KNOWLEDGE OF THE LAW.
4. ETC., ETC.

ALL THE ABOVE HAS CREATED AN INHERENT AND IRRECONCILABLE CONFLICT OF INTEREST BETWEEN ME AND MY PUBLIC DEFENDER. I HAVE LOST TRUST AND RESPECT IN HER. SUCH A CONFLICT CANNOT BE OVERCOME AT THIS TIME.

JUDGE [REDACTED] DISCLOSED THIS AFTERNOON DURING COURT PROCEEDINGS THAT HE HAD A CLOSE RELATIONSHIP TO A DETECTIVE WORKING IN MY CASE. IT WAS NEVER EXPLAINED TO ME ABOUT THE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES AT THAT TIME IN COURT BY MY ATTORNEY, [REDACTED]

CJC-06-225

MY COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY, [REDACTED]  
WAS NEGLIGENT IN HER DUTIES TOWARDS THE  
DEFENDANT.

I AM CONTACTING THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT BECAUSE MY RIGHT TO DUE PRICESS IS  
BEING VIOLATED BY THE JUDGES' ACTIONS  
AND MY OWN ATTORNEY.

TODAY WE TOOK THE TIME TO CHOOSE THE FUTURE  
MEMBERS OF THE GRAND JURY. ON OF THE QUESTIONS  
THE JUDGE ASKED THE PROSPECTIVE MEMBERS, IF  
ANY OF THEM KNEW ME. A MALE PARTICIPANT  
SPOKE UP AND STATED THAT HE WAS A DETENTION  
OFFICER WITH THE SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT AND THAT  
HE MAY HAVE SEEN ME AT THE [REDACTED]  
JAIL. HE STATED THIS IN FRONT OF ALL THE  
PROSPECTIVE MEMBERS. THE JUDGE CONTINUED WITH  
THE PROCEEDINGS WITHOUT TAKING ANY ACTION.  
I AM TROUBLED BY THIS FACT AND HAVE REASONS TO  
BELIEVE THAT THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS' COMMENTS,  
MAY HAVE TAINTED THE MINDS OF THE OTHER  
JURORS PRESENT.

DEAR COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT, PLEASE  
HELP ME. MY LIFE IS AT STAKE. THANK YOU -  
[REDACTED]