## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 06-229	
Complainant:	No	1294110210A
Judge:	No	1294110210B

## **ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge or the hearing officer. The hearing officer was advised to avoid even the appearance of unfairness when conducting hearings.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 28, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 28, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## REASONS FOR JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT

I feel Judge	Canon 1			
Canon 2 Compliance with law. How can he say he complied with the law when it was obvious and established by our witness and his, that the plaintiff was suing the wrong party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge	I feel Judge	compromised r	my confidence in	n the judicial
Canon 2 Compliance with law. How can he say he complied with the law when it was obvious and established by our witness and his, that the plaintiff was suing the wrong party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge	process because he would not	allow a fair defense and	l judged what I	feel as an illegal
Canon 2 Compliance with law. How can he say he complied with the law when it was obvious and established by our witness and his, that the plaintiff was suing the wrong party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge				
Compliance with law. How can he say he complied with the law when it was obvious and established by our witness and his, that the plaintiff was suing the wrong party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge				
Compliance with law. How can he say he complied with the law when it was obvious and established by our witness and his, that the plaintiff was suing the wrong party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge		101-0		
obvious and established by our witness and his, that the plaintiff was suing the wrong party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge	Canon 2			
obvious and established by our witness and his, that the plaintiff was suing the wrong party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge	Compliance with law.	How can he say he con	nplied with the l	aw when it was
party? Our witness was the very person he should have been suing and he was there to testify to that effect. Judge				
testify to that effect. Judge				
impartiality of the judiciary. He constantly interrupted and would not allow my testimony. He would not acknowledge our other witnesses and allow them to say what they came to say. Instead, he raised his voice (yelled) at me when I tried to address just the complaint against me, and he interrogated my son as though he was the defendant and the judge was a prosecutor. What he questioned my son about had nothing to do with the complaint against me. In fact, his questioning actually proved that I, the defendant in the case, was not the one responsible for any damage to said vehicle.  Judge				
testimony. He would not acknowledge our other witnesses and allow them to say what they came to say. Instead, he raised his voice (yelled) at me when I tried to address just the complaint against me, and he interrogated my son as though he was the defendant and the judge was a prosecutor. What he questioned my son about had nothing to do with the complaint against me. In fact, his questioning actually proved that I, the defendant in the case, was not the one responsible for any damage to said vehicle.  Judge				
they came to say. Instead, he raised his voice (yelled) at me when I tried to address just the complaint against me, and he interrogated my son as though he was the defendant and the judge was a prosecutor. What he questioned my son about had nothing to do with the complaint against me. In fact, his questioning actually proved that I, the defendant in the case, was not the one responsible for any damage to said vehicle.  Judge gave the appearance of preferential treatment to the plaintiff. An example is that from the moment we began the case, the judge asked the plaintiff who his witnesses were and for what purpose they were there. At that time it was even established then by his own witness that my son was the one who took delivery of the car, not me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and ve				
the complaint against me, and he interrogated my son as though he was the defendant and the judge was a prosecutor. What he questioned my son about had nothing to do with the complaint against me. In fact, his questioning actually proved that I, the defendant in the case, was not the one responsible for any damage to said vehicle.  Judge gave the appearance of preferential treatment to the plaintiff. An example is that from the moment we began the case, the judge asked the plaintiff who his witnesses were and for what purpose they were there. At that time it was even established then by his own witness that my son was the one who took delivery of the car, not me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
the judge was a prosecutor. What he questioned my son about had nothing to do with the complaint against me. In fact, his questioning actually proved that I, the defendant in the case, was not the one responsible for any damage to said vehicle.  Judge				
complaint against me. In fact, his questioning actually proved that I, the defendant in the case, was not the one responsible for any damage to said vehicle.  Judge gave the appearance of preferential treatment to the plaintiff. An example is that from the moment we began the case, the judge asked the plaintiff who his witnesses were and for what purpose they were there. At that time it was even established then by his own witness that my son was the one who took delivery of the car, not me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
Judge gave the appearance of preferential treatment to the plaintiff. An example is that from the moment we began the case, the judge asked the plaintiff who his witnesses were and for what purpose they were there. At that time it was even established then by his own witness that my son was the one who took delivery of the car, not me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to knowledge of the tape. "(as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
Judge				ine desembling in the
example is that from the moment we began the case, the judge asked the plaintiff who his witnesses were and for what purpose they were there. At that time it was even established then by his own witness that my son was the one who took delivery of the car, not me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				to the plaintiff. An
witnesses were and for what purpose they were there. At that time it was even established then by his own witness that my son was the one who took delivery of the car, not me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
me. He never gave me the same courtesy. I had two witnesses, which he never acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to				
acknowledged until it became apparent that one of my witnesses was the person who negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
negotiated with the plaintiff and the one who took possession of the car and drove the car. Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to				
Then he proceeded to interrogate my witness as said before. My greatest concern is when the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to				
the judge asked me a direct question, after questioning my witness, "Did you at any time offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment) Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
offer any money to I stated, "Absolutely not!" At that time he directed to to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
to "Play the tape." (as if he already had prior knowledge of the tape) I had no knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
knowledge of the tape until I heard it myself and saw that it was taken out of context and out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and (see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
out of the timeline of the whole negotiations with my son and see pages 6 & 7 of my motion to vacate judgment)  Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
Furthermore, Judge never asked any questions to allow me to explain that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				The second secon
Furthermore, Judge			you and	(see pages o ce
that the tape was incomplete and misleading because only partial parts of the message was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle			v meetings to al	low me to evolein
was saved to be played. I could have established that the tape recorded message was left at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle	4			
at an earlier time when my son had already arranged to sell his vehicle and was trying to contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
contact to make arrangements to pick up the car to take it to the mechanic, not to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
to pick up the car for the sale, if he had allowed me to speak. (see bill of sale and vehicle				
registration enclosed dated appropriately)			apente (ace on	OL SHIE HIM YEMEN
Albaniana samana amen ahla ahtarat 1	- ygananan antibota unten nj	Proprietory /		
Canon 3	Canon 3			
My complaint against Judge		Indoe		
In my opinion, there was some kind of cover-up when I filed two separate		-	on when I filed	two senarate
motions to vacate the judgment and asked for an oral argument before the judge of the				

court. I was defined both diffes. The whole reason for the second motion was that the
clerk at the court had told me that the case had been reviewed by Judge (see
page 2, Motion assigned to Therefore, I submitted the second
motion to be reviewed by Judge When that motion was denied, the clerk of the court assured me it was the decision of the court's main ludge.
the court assured me it was the decision of the court's main Judge.
When I went to the court to ask for notes or a tape of the proceedings of the
hearing, the clerk there brought out the file and told me the recording was available, but
the girl responsible for the c.d's was sick and I would have to fill out a request and would
be notified when the e.d. was ready to pick up and the charge would be \$17.00. At this
time, I looked at the file which had exactly the same paperwork I had, (complaint files,
answers, motions to vacate judgment, etc.) except for a note stating the "original selling
price showed sold for Also was a note from a
I asked another clerk standing by if I could have a copy of these notes, she ripped the file
out of my hand and said I wasn't allowed to read those and took them out of the file.
The judicial employee, or roving judge, seemed to be the one
communicating the judgment of the hearing officer and influencing the ruling of the
motions for Judge Meanwhile, I received a letter stating there was no
recording for that case, (after being told that there was the day I went into the court
clerk). How did Judge review the case if there were no notes, no recordings or
no transcripts? How could be review the hearing, with my complaint that Judge
was rude and unfair, yelled at me, and ruled a judgment against the wrong
party, if there were no transcripts? Who determines in small claims court whether a
judgment can be vacated? If Judge is the determining factor, why would be not
grant an oral argument? Especially if he had nothing to go on except my word against
the other judge? Wouldn't that in itself warrant an oral argument? Not only is that
suspect, but when I received my answers to the motions, there was no explanation for
their decision. That is the least I could have received.
I am absolutely confounded that a judgment can be made against a party that has
no responsibility to the complaint. It seems to be an illegal judgment, when it is clear that
the plaintiff was suing the wrong party and it was even established at the hearing.
promise and deling the riverig party and it was even established at the neutring.
If Judge did see an inconsistency, and still refused to hear my oral
argument, why? I have even had another judge review the case and it is clear to him that
there needed to be an oral argument heard. Is Judge simply backing up his hearing officer
nearing officer
TEL seven supermitte for this indemnes I have the interior and I have
If I were responsible for this judgment, I have the integrity to uphold my debt,
(you can check my background) but when it is obvious to everyone I've consulted with
that this complaint was made against the wrong party, how does that make me
responsible for a wrong judgment? I was merely a mediator between two negotiating
parties, and not responsible in any way for a penalty.

## JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT

<ol> <li>bereby file this judicial misconduct complaint against said judge.</li> </ol>
and hearing officer, of the
Justice Court for the following reasons:
Case #
This case was heard on by hearing officer, • I
would like to interject first that the reason for the delay in filing this complaint is due to
circumstances beyond our control being health issues, family deaths and emergencies.
First and foremost, the complaint was named against me, having said that I
bought a car from the plaintiff that I took delivery of the car while he was
out of town and that I drove the car without water and caused damage estimated at
which was not true. He was not out of town when the car was picked up. In
reality, as my witness tried to tell the judge, it was my son a married adult,
not living at home, who had a verbal agreement with to possibly purchase a
car I told him about. is a co-worker who sells cars for extra income outside of
his job. It was that took possession of the car and drove the car.
Their verbal agreement was that he would buy the car if it checked out with his
mechanic. Before even reaching the mechanic, had to replace a leaking radiator
hose. The mechanic told him he would have at least or more to put into the
car. The car needed new hoses, clutch, struts, breaks and shocks.   chose not to buy
the car and came and picked up the car from residence.
then came to me three days later and told me would have to pay for half the
damages to the car. He said he drove it home from house and it overheated while
he drove it and then quit on him and he had to tow it home. said his mechanic
said the car had been driven without water and the engine was damaged. He offered to
pay for half the damage which led me to believe he had knowledge of the poor quality of
the vehicle. I told him he would have to talk to my son about it, because the negotiations
and agreement was between the two of them. He said if didn't pay he would take
him to court. I told him then, had very little money and probably couldn't afford
to pay for it, and it would only force him into possible bankruptey. (Which
misrepresented in court by saying I threatened to file bankruptcy, which would be absurd
with my salary, and lack of debt).
As it is perfectly obvious, I did not buy the car, never had intentions of buying the
car and never drove the car. It is obvious that was suing the wrong party. I
acted as a go-between to contact and leave messages for him.
At the hearing, Judge   would not allow me to show to the court through
my witnesses that I was not the one buying the car. He interrogated my witness,
as if he were the defendant, and established that and his wife had indeed
driven the car. However, he constantly interrupted him and treated him as though he
were the one on the complaint. He never asked any questions that would help
clarify that the car negotiations were with and not me. He never let us establish
through our other witness, wife that I was not buying the car. The
hearing officer was intimidating and was undisputedly rude in his way of speaking to me

whenever I tried to defend myself. It even appeared to us that he had prior knowledge of
what was on a message machine tape played in the court mentioning that we were going
to the bank to get money for the car. He asked me if I had ever offered any money to
and I said absolutely not. Then he had play the tape. When I
tried to explain that the money my wife was picking up was for the purchase of
truck, he would not allow it. I have proof of that transaction as well, made on the very
day in question. We almost felt that by the demeanor in the courtroom, there was
something biased or illegal going on; as if the judge had pre-determined his ruling or that
there was some prior knowledge or familiarity between the judge and the plaintiff.
After talking with another judge in the justice court, I took the necessary steps and
attained an attorney to ask for a motion to vacate the judgment and I was denied without
explanation. My attorney then asked that the honorable Judge
himself look at the case and grant us an oral argument which was again denied, and again
without explanation. I then asked for a copy of the transcript of the hearing, and I was
told there was one but that the woman who makes the copies was off sick. I filled out the
request for the c.d. and was told I would be notified when it was ready.(see paper
attached) I was told it would be 10-14 days. At that time, I reviewed the court file. The
only papers in the file that I did not already have, was a note stating, "asking
new engine sold for and a question mark." Also, I saw a note on the
2 <sup>nd</sup> motion we filed by a judge   stating that "the 2 <sup>nd</sup> motion was just like the
first". Later, approximately two weeks, we got a notice that there was no c.d. or
transcript of the hearing available. If that is the case, how could Judge review
the case and make a judgment without hearing my argument? My case seems obvious to
everyone but this court. I would like some kind of investigation as to how the complaint
against me could have any validity. At the same time, I would request that there be a stay
of judgment until the investigation is completed and I would not be liable at this time to
pay the judgment,
I have consulted other judges, who have told me this case should have never been
ruled on, and should have been thrown out of the court. It was a verbal agreement
hetween and another party; there was no contract, there was no money
exchanged, and the ruling is illegal. It is not a just ruling when I am not the party
should be suing. My thoughts are that knew my son was a young
married man who was not yet established financially and he decided to sue me because
he knew I would be able to pay him. He lied in court and I can prove it. The mechanic
who looked at the ear is willing to testify that when the ear left him, the necessary fluids,
were fine and the car was running fine. did not prove in court that
was the one who did any damage to the car. He admitted in court the car broke down
while HE, himself was driving it, and that it had been in the hands of his witnesses prior
to taking possession of the vehicle. It was three days before he told me of any
damages to the car. All I asked for was an oral argument to be heard by the Judge in the
court, and I was continually denied.
Attached is all the paperwork I have submitted to the court on the case and the
testimony of those who were in the courtroom at the same time of the hearing. I beg the
indulgence of the court for at least a chance to defend my position to another judge or to a
higher court if necessary. My reasons for judicial misconduct are as follows:

1.	Judge did not rule according to Law! There is no way I should be
	held responsible for what my adult son did or did not do. His name was not
	on any of the complaints against me. It was clear that I did not have any
	intentions of purchasing the vehicle.
2.	The judge would not grant me a fair defense either in the original hearing or my subsequent motions to hear oral argument.
3.	The judge was verbally abusive to me and to my witness, constantly raising
	his voice and interrupting us in an attempt to confuse our testimony. He seemed to have already predetermined his verdict. He allowed a tape
	recording that was incomplete and did not prove that I was buying the vehicle
	from In fact if the whole tape had been played it would have been
	obvious that the money referred to was money we were paying our son for the
	purchase of his truck for my younger son. (See attached bill of
	sale and title transfer)
4.	Judge in my opinion, never read the motion to vacate the judgment and hear my oral argument, which causes me to believe he was simply
	backing up the judgment of the hearing officer. Notes to the affect were
	written by telling the judge the paperwork was the same as
	what was denied by the hearing officer. I believe he ruled without reviewing
	the case personally.
5.	When seeking a transcript of the hearing, I was first told there was a recording
	for the case, then later told there was not one available, nor was there any
	transcript. What happened to it?
6.	We would appreciate a stay of payment of the judgment pending all the
	rightful investigation we can do and can be satisfied by the judicial system.
	This judgment is unjust and we intend to do all that is legally possible to
	vindicate ourselves and let bring suit against the rightful party.

William