

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-257

Complainant:	No. 1295900012A
Judge:	No. 1295900012B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judges.

The complainant disagrees with the rulings in her cases and now believes the judges were specifically assigned to her case to ensure she would not prevail. The allegations involve legal issues, not ethical misconduct.

Because the contents of the complaint do not support the claims, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 1, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 1, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

OCT 06 2006

CJC-06-257

Oct. 04, 2006

Email sent to:

Judicial Misconduct

from

I, swear I sent the Complaint
on

Judge

Judge

DF:

I, swear the allegations
are based on my personal
knowledge & Public Record:
the crimes are now beyond
bias and a lack of discretion.

They are subject of a
criminal investigation for crimes
committed while Actor of Govt.

(See the acts of Congressman
to the Code of Service by
or Superior)

OCT 06 2006

CJC-06-257

[redacted] (Put out Business by culpable actions of the below listed structure)

[redacted] (Put out of Business by culpable actions of the below listed structure)

(Victims of verified crimes, where criminals [redacted] agents were indicted and imprisoned)

[redacted] (subject of hoestead and foreclosed by actions fo these Judges on [redacted])

Institutionalization of abuse of code of Ethics by [redacted] Judges [redacted] and [redacted] by the creation with intent to create a "Structure under color of authority" that violates the code of Ethics and underlying elements governing "Judicial Mis-Conduct in the following manner:

They come in through the back door to take over authority to preside:

Then, they target their victim;

The victim is tarred by financial marginalization to earn a living, by Les Pendens, Liens, Judicially created enjoiments.

Then their his/her credibility is attacked and fee waivers denied to allow them the right to defenda against false allegations and address the issue of Lack of Jurisdiction and Judicial bias or the assigned hand picked Judges.

Then this financial and credibility marginalization leads the Judges to where they were intending to go from day one and towards the purpose of their being hired to preside these selcted cases.

The goal is a gaurenteed win for the adverse party to this Litigant.

The agenda is to silence the victims and render them homeless.

Who is involved?

[redacted] and discovery would expose those Justices involved in the [redacted] Court of Appeal, and other arms of the structure, but no Jury Trial is allowed to get to that point.

In one hundred percent cases, this complainant is never allowed to answer, confront, or deny.

The beneficiaries of unjust gain are allowed again and again to sue these victims till they have property left.

These hand-picked Judges are picked by the inital random selection of any [redacted] Judge as allowed under the Proceudures governing these courts, as permitted under code of Court procedure;

It is after these randon selections that these Judges step in:

Secretly these two Judges [redacted] as of lately are hand-picked and assigned in very quietly, at the time of the non-noticed first hearing, and if such back door assignment is caught in time and a disqualification motion filed by the targetted victim;

All bets are off and every concievable tactic is employed by the Strusture under color of authority to stop the entry of any response.

If there is a Motion for Diusqualification of the assigned Judges filed such removal is faught with a demand for fees, if a fee waiver is filed, such fee waiver disaaappears, then another one is filed, and [redacted] the clerk is told to sit on it, till the first hearing already takes place, and a defau;lt judgment rendered.

If an answer is filed with a challenge to Jurisdiction and in the alternative a Trial by Jury request, no verification of the date of hearing is given, and the poor sucker respondents are made to drive from [redacted] and watch the

10/6/2006

Judge appear and not address their pleadings.

In every case the adverse party is certified, and rendered, a favorable default judgment or a Judgment by any means which favors parties adverse to complainants interest by denying the [redacted] any right to appear, confront, deny, or expect a Trial of impartial Jury of Peers or by an impartial Judge.

Margenalization is the material tool used by these two Judges and occasionally enough pressure is put on [redacted] to provide them with the same outcome if their entry into the [redacted] family cases becomes too exposed.

And this margenalization is reflected in every order by these Judges;

Methods used are again mentioned by name as follows:

denial of fee waiver to insolvent debtors, rendered insolvent by these Judges;

denial of access to the rule of Law, as it affects these specific complainants.

This paticular treatment is saved for only certain hand picked litigants who are not to be allowed access to justice because by doing so the Judges would expose themselves and their peers and dual allegences to punishments required under State and Federal Law of Bankruptcy violation and interstate financial crimes and in some cases kickback scheme led by [redacted] who has been fined by five States incuding Arizona and California for bribing parties in return for crimes.

This complaint is complicated, and we are without money to to fight, email is the only way we can communicate, by we can fax a sinature page to swear that this is the truth and we shall appear in person to expose this pattern on such institutionalized modus of operendus.

We have rented a [redacted] place close to [redacted] and are even behind on that this month, because these Judges will not allow us to sell our properties.

Both Judges work for [redacted] and this form of Judicial scam has cost past, present, ongoing and future losses to the targetted, victimized and financially margenalized complainant [redacted]

[redacted] and their children the loss of over [redacted] in [redacted] properties from their estate and defamation and loss of credit by wrongful enjoiments, les pendens and tax lien sales due to these enjoiments.

homesteaded house forclosed on [redacted] pending escrow closing interecepted by Title and Insurance Companies who have been enriched by these actions of these Judges.

I, swear this complaint if filed, Under Penalty of perjury a fax page shall be sent if signature is needed.

[Redacted signature box]