State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-299

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1263800583A

No. 1263800583B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are legal or administrative in nature.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: January 26, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 26, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-299

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

	Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 12 05 06
	Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.		
	FORECT TO TELL ME SO HE WON OF COURSE FOR A LOOK A		
	QUE HIM NOTHING , HE OFFERED ME A JOB AS A LANDSCAPER ON HIS		
	OFFICE BUILDING		ACROSS FOROM MY HOUSE
	TO SET ME UP, HE KNEW THAT I NEEDED AN AFTORNEY, AT THAT TIME		
	I WAS FALSELY ACUSSED OF A CRIME BY AN ILLEGAL ALLIEN A250		
	A SMUGGLER OF ALLIENS THAT LIVED ACROSS FROM NE, HE		
	SUPPOSEDLY FIRED THE WETBACK AND ARED ME TO DO THE JOB OF GROUND MAINT IN HIS STUPID BUILDING, THEN RIGHT AWAY THERE AFTER OFFICED TO GET ME AN ATTORNEY, I SAID ALL RIGHT THE TROVE ME TO THE OFFICE OF A LAWYER ON BY THE NAME OF INTRO DUCED TO A MAN THAT WAS AN ATTORNEY NAMED		
	THE MAN WAS & IMPOSTOR HIS REAL NAME!		
	KNOW NOW 1.5	HE A:	SO WHES OTHER NAMES
LIKE	A POLICE OFFICER, HE CHARGED & FOR DEFENDING ME HANDED HIM ACK. WITHOUTH WRITTING NOTHING ON IT I THOUGTH IT WAS KIND OF STRANGE BUT LET GO BY		
S.R.			
	-174-55	TOLD THAT I COULD P	AU HIM BACK WITH THE
	YARD WORK THEN FOR ABOUT 3 MORITHS I WORKED WITHOUT PAY, AND THE FAKE LAWRYER DID NOT DO ANY THING BUT WROTE TWO LETTERS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND NEUR SENT THEM		
	AND CLAIMED HE TOOK AERIAL PHOTOS OF MY HOME AND		
	THE NEIGHBORH	ODD I DON'T KNOW WH	HT THE HELL FOR AND
		O ERAAL NEHR AFE SAL	DHEWANTED AND
			MORE

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CJC-06-299

ITHEN FIRED HIM AND ASKED THE COURT FOR A PUBLIC DEFENDER AFTER THAT HE ADVICED ME TO PLEAD NO CONTEST AND I WOULD ONLY GET PROBATION AND I ACCEPTED THE DEAL SO I WENT ON PROBATION FOR A YEAR AND HALF I WAS WAS INOCENT AND HAD WITTNESSES TO PROVE IT BUT THAT IS THE WAY IT GOES IN AMERICA THE BEAUTIFUL. SO MY JUDAS FRIEND DOING HIS YARD WNTIL PD. IN FULL, 1 SAID OK, BUT 400 A WEEK INSTERD OF HE SALD NO WAR HADE PAY 50 / QUIT, HE HIRED SO MEDODY ELSE PAYING HLM A WK , SO THEN HE DECIDED TO TAKE TO SMALL CEATINS WITHOUT TELLING ME ABOUT. AFTER WHEA I FOUND OUT THAT HE HAD & JUDGEMENT AGAINST ME I TOOK HEM TO SMALL CLAIMS TO TELL THE JUDGE ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED THEN IN COURT JUDGE PROHIBITED ME FROM SAYING ANY THING IN HIS CONDET AND THAT THE JUDMENT REMAINS, JUST THE WAN THEY USED TO DO IN NAZI GERMANY OR SOVIET UNION. ARE FRIENDS, BERAUSE IS VERY WEALTHY AND CROCKED AND HAS A LOT OF PULLIN NOW I NEED TO REFINANCE MY HOUSE AND IS NOT POSSIBLE BECAUSE I HAVE BAD CREDIT BE THAT IS THE REST OF THE STORY I WILL ACCERT TAKING I LIE DETECTOR TEST IF DOES THE SAME YOURS TRULY