State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 07-013		
Complainant:		No.	1300910682A
Judge:		No.	1300910682B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 5, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 5, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-07-013

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 110/2007		
provide all of the important plain paper of the same size t	our own words what the judge did that you b names, dates, times and places related to your to explain your complaint, and you may attach ttach copies of any documents you believe wil	r complaint. You can use this form or additional pages. Do not write on the		
My motion as accommodation	respected by Judge Unda	hanna al-halanna ka halanna ka		
Judge did i	not respect the correct order	of heaving the case -		
11 1 1/1 1	eard first : I was cleary	NOT informed of		
subsequent bearing	ng schedualled before the n	ext hearing schedualled		
in court before	Tudge thus the a	use was adjudicated		
without me being	1 1 110	nd at that pearing		
-T- /	ancarded ANV the heaving	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Indge and	A 1/2 1/1 13 4	rongly incated AND		
Latana Alia count	. 111 1/11	my motions brought		
not convertly al	1 1 1 11 11/1/1	were very wrongly		
to minute patri	es aldressing was father as	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
of the decedent	in this object ion to the	original probate of		
my fathers wil	Le Coarl and needless inhumonity +	The state of the s		
Furthermore, as	the only son of	and a		
long time and court	inneus dependant of their est	ate, my various objections		
to the original p	relate were clear and true	and utticly obviously		
within the just	sdiction of the court -I	was not intermed		
The state of the s	prolate as required by law.	The court did not		
	procedure and clear contempt b	di intiti		
11/11/	ments from me in tout of	fer stating he had not		
	in case is a heinens, evil			
The elderly and 7	he estate of a dependent.	has been utterly incompetant.		
	_			
Please contact we for court ducuments it you are able to investigate. (Attach additional sheets as needed)				
PS: I would the State	very needs appreciate forms to complete Bar has not broised these despite multip	ain begarding the athenies involved— ale teconial regrest and feliphone promitions regunts		