State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

D	isposition of Complaint 07-058	
Complainant:	No.	1304510653A
Judge:	No.	1304510653B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised is legal or appellate in nature, and the more appropriate remedy would have been to appeal the judge's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 4, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 4, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-07-058

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

	Your name	Judge's name:		_ Date: 7/7	2/07
1	Instructions: Describe in your own words we provide all of the important names, dates, timplain paper of the same size to explain your coback of any page. You may attach copies of all	nes and places rel emplaint, and you	ated to your complains may attach additional	. You can use this form pages. Do not write on	or the
X	lam baffled othow !!	peral To	lae 1	nas been w	hen
	making decisions n	eaardin	a my chil	dren and	
		1.0	10-1-1	3 / 1	order o
	was written by Iu	dae	Prior to	1 1 1	endered.
	appointed consillati	an offi	er. where	and the same of th	a C
		. 1	uhach he G	7 11	CE DOCT
has	as a coniver	very	dishonest	t, and not	-tobe
	given any more tin		1 / "	e l	
	even had take	a drug		The state of the s	e failed
	Misselfest finding			s, Postive	1
	child "(pale, "G") Yot			m v child s	STORA
	by gind gave			ith	200011
1-	My children are bet	no mal	ked on be		
TH	Judge prodev	manufactures of	totake	two arun	tests,
		1 copies.	*	sts dilut	ed, and
	positive just studge	1	ino receiver	1 all these	IESTS I
	and requested a si			be sent	to Indos
	11011		to his on	(erlisting	The
	lies I had proof of wi	nich we	re writt	en; there	fore,
	hoping it to be re	writte	n. It was	at. Since	this,'l
	have received positi	ve arus	7	m TARC FO	, ,
	well being of my chil	Acen is	The per	ored by J	etyand
	After my object	tion wa	& basicall	, lauded at	inge.
	modification of ord	additional sheets	as needed) mero	eay chan	
	modification of ord	er	Once	again, suo	(ge >

did not grant. I then filed an order
of protection for my children,
It stored them trom
TO THE PARTY OF TH
me. I will not let see in order to corner
After seems the evidence I have,
was even shorted
at the lack of responsibility Judge
has shown toward my children
chesta their behalt.
My actions have been many with two
children in mind and what is the best
bare been taken by Judge have and
and there is y stage.
Sincerely,