State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-069 Complainant: No. 1305300378A Judge: No. 1305300378B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The commission also determined that the judge did not participate in an ex parte communication. The complainant's other allegations and concerns were addressed in the divorce decree and concern legal rulings that can only be remedied by an appellate court.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 11, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 11, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FUR	OFFICE US	EONLY
CJC	-07-	069

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name

Date: 03.08.07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

rour.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

Page 2 Complaint Against A Judge Case: 069in total disregard for the Reserve Support Jest set by law. openly lied over and over in the Divorce Judge Decrel, as confirmed by my Attorney, Though speake testimony was provided on all the issues of Durdice buch the 1.5 days of testimony, Judge field in Page 5, # 10 regarding my weles past employment history; Page 6, # 11 that my testimony of being Joiced leave à odot employment position was "not credible". Also that I haven't (hadn't) provided my ex-wife with funds from loans I obtained to support myself. This is an open lie, as testimony and proof that I did provide funts was presented. Page 6, # 14 the entire paragraph is a lie, as testimony and proof were presented to the contrary, Page 7. # 17 Sestimony and proof were provided that of did not have the ability to pay all the support, but I DID pay a high portion of the support ordered + That NEVER willfully witheld support. "Child Support Findings Addendum "Figures should be constituted lies since Judge was obtained accurate dollar numbers to enter on the worksheet and refused to do so. To reiterate, this is a charge of repeated unethical lifing - not a request to change individual rulings set This Judge also repeatedly abused her discretion to interpret the law. I Ruling on Religion without ANY testemonly to harm coming to the children. 2) The 4 Statutes for Spousal Maintenance cannot even be remotely stretched is allowed by law, the Self Support Reserve amount of per month to live on, by a weekly contempt Order threatening a Warragit for my arrest Adain the charge - Abdse of undurdual discretion of the Law, Not the issues resulting from her decisions. 03,08,07