State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 07-123		
Complainant:		No.	1192210262A
Judge:		No.	1192210262B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judicial officers. The allegations involve legal rulings and procedural actions that are within the authority of the court to decide. The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change court procedures or decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 1, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 1, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

DATE:	JANUARY 30, 2007
DAIE.	JANUART 50, 2007
MVCOMBI	AINT ON THIS JUDGE COMES IN TWO
DIFFERENT MAI	TERS, CONCERNING THE PARTICULAR CASE
THE EIDET	MATTER CONCERNS MY HEALTH AND MEDICAL
	ONG WITH MEDICAL BILLS IN WHICH MY CREDIT
	MEDICAL PAYMENTS HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO
	TIRED DATES. WITNESSES NAMED AND ALSO A
	ER ALONG WITH MYSELF HAD APPEARED
	IN THE YEAR AFTER, A LONG
	NATION IN WHICH MY RELEASE FOR A
	FENDANT TO STAND TRIAL AFTER
	PANT HAD BEEN READY FOR TRIAL AND A DATE
	DULED FOR TRIAL AND THIS IS WHERE I MET
THE SECTION OF THE	IN MIDDLE OF YEAR THIS JUDGE AMONG
OTHER COMMISS	SIONERS, JUDGES HAVE BEEN OR HAD BEEN
MADE AN APPEA	RANCE FOR SOME ODD REASON AND AT THE
	VING THIS HAD NOT EVEN BEEN MY TRIAL
	ANY PARTICULAR REASON TO APPEAR
INFRONT OF.	HAD ORDERED ME TO
PLACE A MASK C	ON MY FACE FOR REASONS OF THE
CORRECTIONAL	HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF THE
JAIL. AL	ONG WITH MY ATTORNEY'S THESE INDIVIDUAL
	E COURT HAD BEEN INSTRUCTED BY THE
CORRECTIONAL	HEALTH DEPARTMENT THAT THERE HAD BEEN
	HAT I MIGHT HAVE THE
FOLLOWING ACT	IS HAD TAKEN PLACE FOR THE ABUSE:
 DRESSED 	AND PREPARED FOR TRIAL THE INDIVIDUAL
OFFICERS OF TH	E COURT HAD NOT EVEN SEND OUT
SUBPOENA'S FOR	R THE SCHEDULED TRIAL DATE WHICH HAD
BEEN THE REASO	ON THIS COURT ROOM HAD BEEN EMPTIED
EXCEPT FOR MY	SELF AND THE ATTORNEYS ALONG WITH THE
JUDGE AND COU	RT REPORTER.

2. JUDGE	ALONG WITH ATTORNEYS
HAD BEEN QUESTIONING THE STATUS	OF MY INCOME TAXES
AND THE FILING OF THEM.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. JUDGE	HAD ORDERED ME TO
ATTEND TO THE HOSPITAL FOR ANSW	
THAT PAYMENT WOULD BE MADE BY	COMMUNITTY AND
FURTHER THAT TRIAL COULD NOT BE	DONE THAT DAY, LATER
THE MEDICAL BILLS HAD BEEN GIVEN	
NOTHING HAD BEEN PAID OR EVEN AG	
TREATMENT.	
(THE SECOND MATTER)	
ONA PETITIC	ON FOR POST CONVICTION
RELIEF HAD BEEN FILED AND ALL OT	HER PARTIES INCLUDING
THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HA	D BEEN APPLIED WITH
NOTIFICATION, BY DELIVERY OR POST	AGE MAIL.
ON JUDGE	OF THE
SUPERIOR COURT HAD ASSIGNED	THE
CASE FOR CONSIDERATION.	
ON AT APPROX	IMATELY 3:36PM
(DOCUMENTATION INCLUDED) THE PE	RON FILING COMPLAINT,
MYSELF	AD APPEARED IN PERSON
AT THE CLERK OF THE COURTS OFFICE	E LOCATED AT
TI	HIS APPEARANCE HAD
BEEN FOR A COMPLETE REVIEW OF TH	IE RECORD OF CASE NO.
	DGE
HAD INCLUDED HIMSELF	AS THE ORDERING JUDGE
OF THE CASE IN WHICH	HAD BEEN
ORDERED.	
THERE HAD NOT BEEN ANY RESP	
FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFI	
DISPUTE REGARDING THE PETITION. T	HERE HAD NOT BEEN A

RESPONSE REGARDING THE CHANGE OF JUDGE IN THE MATTER OR ANY RECORD REGARDING ANY CHANGE WHEN RECORD REVIEWED AT THE CLERK'S OFFICE.

THEREFORE THIS JUDGE HAD KNOW REASON WHAT SO EVER TO INVOLVE HIMSELF IN THE FIRST OR SECOND MATTER. FIRST, THIS JUDGE HAD NOT EVEN ANY KNOWLEDGE OR APPOINTED AS TRIAL JUDGE. SECOND, THIS JUDGE HAD NO REASON FOR INVOLVING HIMSELF AS THE JUDGE TO APPROVE ORDER AS OF EXSPECIALLY WHEN NO RESPONSE OF COUNTY ATTORNEY HAD ANY DISPUTE.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

			OFR	-	-	-	-	-	
JJ	C	Othy	0	7	600	Spale	9	2	, ,

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

THE MINISTAN	TE Judge 13 IN A CONFERCT OF	f Inverest in my
family AFFA	TE Judge is iN A conflict of	