State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 07-155		
Complainant:	N	No.	1312200246A
Judge:	١	No.	1312200246B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised is legal or appellate in nature, and the more appropriate remedy would have been to appeal the judge's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: July 18, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 18, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State Commission of Judicial Conduct Phoenix, AZ 85007 JUN 0 5 2007 CJC-07-155 (602) 542-5200 Dear State Commission of Judicial Conduct: We Are "Victims" of public corruption, Followed by Judicial corruption to cover-up the many with "Bad-faith" intentional errors. We wrote to the Attorney General complaining and or Asking For them to investigate our case. They were not in a position to determine whether our complaint has legal mizits, but, they were Nicr enough to give us your Address if we had a complaint against a judge ur complaint is against Vivisian Honorable Superior Court in

	ON	I was Acco	wed of
K	shipping two (2) boxes	24x18x2y inch	boxes
- 1	that Contained, toilet	paper, Newspap	1914
	ox. Inside the co-	tained the d	etective
	claims that there w		프로그리 전에 가는 사람들은 얼마나 아니는 그 사람들이 가는 것이 되었다.
	papped in green was		ATTENDED TO THE PROPERTY OF TH
1	The detective ga	and have	11. 4
1	Inclubox was given ?	Item # a	nd the
4.5	iccond (2) box was giv	in Lten	
	~ 1		
r	I ten # w	port could veri	() A
	+ indeed was marine	assa. Was	destroyed
	+ indeed was mariju		
	Dox. Sinct I did no		
	did not noteitial me s a violation of R		
	rules of Criminal proc		
	The detective claims	that Item	had
6	25.5 pounds of mary	juana.	
	T+ = 1	H	110 . 1
1	Item was was he other half was gi	un Item#	noir and
1	73		

following items were submitted for I tem# "'U. Haul" box containing paper, plastic weapped bail, two (2) sheets of plastic bubble wasp, one (1) plastic bag, and numerous Dieces of Newspaper. One (1) "photographic lift" was obtained from a Newspaper adventisement and was also submitted as Item Details of Examination, results of comparison! Known prints Subjects compared! No identification was made The detective either mixed my two boxes with some one elses boxes and on the detective did not find marijuana in my boxes, but was to late to admit his error.

	I have enclosed my minute Entry when the
	court and the offices of the court began their
	I have enclosed my minute Entry when the court and the offices of the court began their exporte and or comption. Knimite Entry Dated
	1. The court Admonishes that No Further motions to withdraw counsel will be granted
	tuether motions to withdraw counsel will be granted
	in this case. The Court Does it three (3)
	times.
	2 The count and the officers of the count
	2. The court and the officers of the court have three wheneings or Ex-parts, out of the presence of the defendants
	presence of the defendants
	3. Counsel for the defense mislends the court
	3. Counsel for the defense mislends the court on ability to participate in her defense.
	detinse.
	4. The Court finds neither defendants will
	occept Rule & toial Right and then the Court
A CO	Assert Rule 8 trial Rights and then the Caret Finds that there is clevely a Rule 11 15sur
	5. The Court finds extenordinary graciumstones
	exist and "Delay is indispensable to the interest
	OF Justice And then the Gust Orders" VACAting" (Not Delaying) the Trial Date.
	(Not Delaying) the 12121 Date.
	7

6. The Court Notes that the Standard
protocol for Rule II proceedings is that Div.
retains jurisdiction of a case After
Rule II proceedings have been completed
7. HOWEVER, this Court requests that this posticular cose be returned to Div.
that this posticular case be returned to Div.
(why?)
8.) ON on 1 of the 3
henrings out of the presence OF the defendants
the Court and the Officer Of the court planned
a fake Hearing for See minimer
Entry Doted I The defendants were neva
told or notified of this Huszing
found out about it and Showed up for the
Henring and no one was there in Dio
ow The Counsel for the defence
colled at 9:14 A.M. ON on our Sons
Cell phone, Not Ours, and left a message
For us. The hearing was scheduled for 970 AM
For Us. The hipping was schidaled for 970 AM
and like I said I was there and No one
was there. I HAVE A Recorded tape on
that phone message that was left on my
5

See Minute Entry Dated CJC-07-155 Sons Cell phone, NOT OURS. The date on the left commen of the minute entry is the date that the minute Entry was either Started or Finished or both. The date under the Cose No. above that is the date that it was filed and or distrubuted. The left corner Date is the Learing Date is that is to much of a defference in Starting or finish or both Date to F King and filed Dates. I have Kerench ours 100 minute Entry's and I have Not found a singly Minute Endry with Minute Endry Date 30 Far Apost From the Hosing Dote, And Why would they call at 9:14 A.M. When the Arosing was Scheduled For 9:30 A.M. Counsel thought that the Hessing the Fake

CJC-07-155

Hearing was scheduled for 9:00 A.M. and that is why she left the message at 9:14AM when she should have left the message at 9:14AM 9:45 A.M. BIG MISTAKE

I pray to God that you guys take a good look at our case because I feel that not only my life is in danger but my families too especially my Children.

Also there is A Book Colled

and that Book talks about all the

Consuption in that also talks

ploont who is the

prosecutor in my case, And in

when left there

years of Files are still missing. The

coason left was

because Six (6) Agencies were investigating

forensic Specialist and His

office, Plase Investigate Him I am

Scared For my life. Thank you