State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-169

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1313310465A

No. 1313310465B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 29, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 29, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix. Arizona 85007

CJC-07-169

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your nan	

Judge's name:

Date: 06/15/2007

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I have ongoing issues with the Justice Court, and the County Attorneys office. My primary complaint with the justice court is their inability (because disks are erased) to furnish me or my lawyer with audio transcripts for three court hearings.

The hearing dates are:

I believe these to be the correct dates.

I have found it interesting that the audio records for the same person, over a wide range of time are not available. When I requested the audio transcripts, I asked for the four hours prior to, and the following four hours after the hearings, with that entire span of time erased.

Two hearings are of particular interest.

(ex-wife, and stated that she could lose her job because of this (if found guilty of DV assault), and that I had notified the local newspapers (false).

On organized, photographed, and supervised, the return of some of my personal property. I had requested that no property that could be considered a weapon be included. I put this in writing and my list was given to her through the attorney's. She facilitated the that I had taken possession of my antique delivery, and then notified the court firearms collection in violation of conditions of release. stated in open court "I have come to know that you have in your possession your antique firearms collection. You need to turn them over to the police, or find someway to get them out of your possession immediately. Do I make I believe this to be an attempt at illegal myself clear?" This was at a hearing on I later requested a nearing to find out who notified the court, and when. I entrapment by and that she was trying to put me in violation of had ample reason to believe that it was court orders, and per statements to friends and acquaintances, "make sure I was put in jail".

These are serious loses to me. These audio recordings contain specific testimony and statements that are beneficial to my defense. I believe that this was no accident, oversight, or human error. Again it is beyond my belief that on three occasions, for three separate hearings, for the eight hour (four hours prior to, and four hours after) time frames I requested the disks are blank.

If a person considers the safeguards that are required for using audio equipment in documenting court proceedings, it is my belief that someone had an active hand in destroying these records.