

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-213

Complainant: No. 1316310542A

Judge: No. 1316310542B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 29, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 29, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-07-213

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 8/4/07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

See attached Affidavit, Motion, and Exhibits

I am tired of this judge ignoring laws and getting away with it. Why are citizens expected to uphold the laws and our own elected judges are above them? Something needs changed; bad judge stories are all over the internet, they need to be held responsible!

Copies mailed to:

U.S. House of Representatives
Committee on the Judiciary
2138 Rayburn HOB
Washington, DC 20515

U.S. Senate
Committee on the Judiciary
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

* [redacted] - Called [redacted] Clerk [redacted]
pursuant to Rule 32 B of AZ Rules of Family Law Procedures, it was the 5th day (business) for judge to respond. When papers were first submitted, was told no charge until judge decides to accept it? Yesterday [redacted] told me no I should have paid a \$160 Postjudication petitions in a domestic relation case fee? Why does she think this is the same as an Affidavit? Furthermore, she also informed me that my papers are on [redacted] desk, not even the right judge! This court does not know what they are to do.
(Attach additional sheets as needed)