State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 07-231		
Complainant:		No.	1317610553A
Judge:		No.	1317610553B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. A party sent in a letter which the judge saw immediately prior to the hearing and provided to the complainant, allowing the complainant time to respond. Although this was an ex parte communication, the judge handled it in an appropriate manner. The other issues raised in the complaint are legal or appellate in nature and outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 4, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\g\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 4, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Ref: Case No.	AUG 2 7 2007
Subject: Judicial Misconduct	CJC-07-231
Dear Sir/Madam:	
on I I removal of a personal representative i County, (Case No. wished to remove. my brother sent an unsigned 1 Court, to Commissioner of County, who had been assigned to	The personal representative whom I in reply to my petition he, etter, which he did not file with the the Superior Court of Arizona.
In the letter that he	sent to Commissioner de false allegations against me y and our mother
and a Commissioner of the Superior Cou appointed a Guardian Ad Litem for my m	pointed by Commissioner a medical power of attorney based on h the letter claims was used to take
However as of this time of any such investigation has ever bee in this matter.	, (7) seven months later no results n made known by the Guardian Ad Litem
retitle property belonging to woman, back into the name of the Estat	also ordered me to my mother and a divorced (Deceased).
while divorced from property and using her own money.	roperty in question was never in the instead purchased by using her name as sole owner of the
This mistake has now be of the Superior Court of Arizona,	en corrected by another Commissioner County, the Honorable

In the months following my filing the original petition for the removal of a personal representative, as a result of Commissioner decision to create an entirely separate case, (Case No. based on a fraudulent allegation. My mother has had her bank accounts restricted to pay for a Court appointed advocate, (Attorney), a Guardian Ad Litem, Conservator Guardian and a Public Fiduciary all being paid for by my mother
The fees of an attorney, a Guardian Ad Litem, a Conservator Guardian and a Public Fiduciary are beyond the fixed income of
This situation for now has expanded out of all reasonable proportions through the involvment of Court appointed attorneys. due to the fact that her accounts have been restricted to pay the Court appointed attorneys, will soon have her gas, electric, water and telephone services turned off which will make the home where she lives uninhabitable.
Had been poor and homeless no one, an that's including the Court, would take such a keen and special interest in her. No one is under any false conceptions that all of these things that are being done are being done for money money.
Any advice or assistance of any kind that you can give to me to help me correct this act of Judicial Misconduct which has had a severe detrimental impact on my mother my family and myself will be greatly appreciated. My mother is an year old widow who has diabetes and alzhiemers disease and lives on a fixed income. Therefore I am writing this letter for her. SINCEREIY,