

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-272

Complainant: No. 1320910714A

Judge: No. 1320910714B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: October 30, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\g\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 30, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

SUN
10-14-07

Commission on Judicial Conduct

OCT 16 2007

CJC-07-272

RE: Divorce proceedings Case [REDACTED]

Judge [REDACTED]

FIRST let me tell you I'm on death row for
A CRIME I did NOT do. I'm here because of
A CORRUPT ATTORNEY for my wife. I've filed
papers on him. The divorce court and filing
papers also.

[REDACTED] filed for divorce.
She was in prison AT [REDACTED]. The petition
was dismissed so I filed for divorce.
Being dumb and not able to get any help
my petition was thrown out.

So I filed a petition for divorce in
[REDACTED]. I had papers served and
did exactly as instructed. IT WAS ~~THE~~
ALL THE WAY TO default stage. I was
informed that the Judge [REDACTED] had
granted Respondent A Resolution hearing
because Respondent had hired [REDACTED]
of [REDACTED] said his papers must
have got lost in the mail. The Resolution
hearing was set up. [REDACTED] nor the
Respondent appeared. So the judge sat up
A default hearing for a month later.

The Default hearing was set for [redacted] 9:00 A.M.

I made a telephonic hearing call, when I called [redacted] was on the stand giving testimony at a default hearing.

His testimony was recorded. He also asked that the second default be thrown out.

The judge took time to decide. He decided 100% in line with Respondent's Attorney who should not have been allowed to testify. According to Arizona divorce law.

I feel that the judge was 100% wrong by allowing this. Then making a decision for a person that had nothing.

She is a Black widow. This divorce could help clear me.

I have papers where (under oath) she admitted to being a bigamist.

Now can I get this decision reversed and looked at again by an honest judge.

