

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-322

Complainant: No. 1154710459A

Judge: No. 1154710459B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. Because the contents of the complaint do not support the claims, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: January 24, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 24, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Urgent Notice! In years

filed complaints against Judges

These jurisdiction entities and employees failed to properly protect me and the constitutions of state and country by your malfeasance to forlorn jurisdiction employment and deployment of resources. Today my case law files expose affirmed abated issues and still surreptitious duplicity. Eclipsed issues implicating ET.AL. Named in multiple pubic record herin. The wrongful harm includes illegal sentence but omits incorporated case law of illegal consecutive sentence, illegal excessive sentences, ineffective assistance of counsels, malfeasance and encompassing courtruption issues. In present encompassing judicial complaint implicated abettors include attorneys ET. AL, by indirect or direct knowledge, awareness, carelessness, idleness, conveyance, adoption, omission, admission, persuasion, dissuasion, participation, etc...Judge has erroneously embraced three failures to appear and procrastinated arrival (see supplements) speedy trial issues are being deployed, also case (B) status appearance date of is illegally lying in wait deficient in speedy trial effective due process, erroneous detrimental delays by erroneous double standard going to appearances, delays and time limits inconsistant with the equal standard Judge

ET. AL. Raise the bar on awareness of courtrupt bias and hazardous procrastination and automatic prediction of poverty due process, securing desired punishment not within essence of rule of law contours. On false cue of my unjust uncooperative acquiescence of futile release request, attempts to subdue my being from just issue, instant opinion leading to decision is instant awareness of mendaciousness or erroneous harms processing (if record repudiates I demand witness hearing and or perjury conditions herin) I detour mind to 18,000 dollar bond order she redirects exchange to , during this exchange discovers four month old unresolved ambiguous request to terminate pre trial release conditions when vacated case (A) release me from release conditions. (carries carries) state's burden of proof in release issue. The state remains silent. and release issue have reduced to silent dunce defender prides herself reiterate (we have rules I must enforce these rules I will not entertain improper request...) abandones encompassing bond issues and new release issues and adoption issues incorporating judges and all attorney by lenthly heirs and heiress of release eligibility herin and included 80 percent of charges dismissed etc...(forlorn dismissal or reduction of bond) I alone undisputed by state and with burden of ineffective counsel have carried and confirmed fertile fitness. erroneously sets aside release issue, I intrepret her vagueness as a decision due on next day court appearance for case (B) next day schedule appearance vanishes without proper procedure but on the date prior she did order a 25.00 dollars bond for case (A). Case (B) appearance is lying in wait. On did not read my encompassing motion I conclude this by clear awareness that her assistant on her immediate left hand delivered my motion minutes prior to calling of my case (A) before hearing begins I raise my cuffed hand to challenge for bias cause and I am ignored, my motion is dismissed without propre discussion of facts, plea

bargain settlement conference is reaffirm, etc... (review record) after hearing, my rescinded freedom of speech is restored, I give notice of early attempt to timely challenge, she reiterate (we have rules...etc.) , no rule is cited, is not asked why she is not resolving issues of apparent red alert notice, is still on silent dunce defender duties, accuses me of deliberately contriving delay of speedy trial time limits (Illegally or Falsely), she has caused detrimental delay on the erroneous cure and erroneous rescue of defender is relying on citing surreptitious rules to administer injustice, Judge mendaciously or mistakenly states that the state did timely object to release issue and she did timely affirm current release condition (a surreptitious event and date) states that I do not have the right to select attorney, if, only by financial selection, poverty is apparent and affirmed by court classification, defender assignment, and I admit to poverty in my motion which merely and just ask to exclude public defender office and preclude legal advocate office on historical conflict issues (is still silent...) (reorders) plea bargain settlement conference without asking for my required approval (speedy trial issues are the course of action) plea option is threat option therefor unpracticable preference erroneously embraced prior felony conviction allegations for sentence enhancements when they are simply beyond class 4, 5, and 6 felonys time limits by way of (see lines 5 and 6 here) subtle antidefendant activities and surreptitious rule citing activities here in this case are prejudicially harmful they promote undue hardship, conflict, vindictive ventures by self interest, self serving, self indulgent, self important, self protecting persons of power and influence incorporated in courtrruption that is here automatic and predictable. These are not incompetent fixated fantasys they are file facts (review this and past record for fast prevention of reinjury) I accept all jeopardy for the due process test of integrity by oath (affidavits are insufficient) If you do not understand my complaint please notify me

- Tuesday the 18th of December 2007