

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 08-018

---

Complainant: No. 1326810613A

Judge: No. 1326810613B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve facts presented to the court during trials and hearings. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a).

Dated: February 11, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\g\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 11, 2008.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

21 Jan 08 1 of 4

TO: COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT  
1501 W WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 229  
PHOENIX, AZ 85007

JAN 24 2008

I HAD WRITTEN TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, ENCLOSED  
LETTER FROM THEM TELLING ME TO CONTACT YOUR OFFICE.

THIS IS TO REQUEST FOR A REVIEW OF DIVORCE CASE I  
HAVE PROOF **THAT THE JUDGES RULING WAS MADE FROM FALSE  
DOCUMENTATION AND LIES FROM THE PETITIONERS LAWYER.** THIS  
DATA WAS ALSO SENT TO THE COURT AND WAS ALSO IN MY WRITTEN  
REBUTTAL, FILED PRIOR TO THE DEADLINE

I SUBMITTED A MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL, WHICH WAS DENIED BY THE  
SAME JUDGE WHO MADE HER RULINGS FROM THE FALSE INFORMATION,  
SO I KNEW SHE WOULD NOT GRANT A NEW TRIAL THAT SHE RULED ON  
WITH FALSE DATA. SO WOULD LIKE YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST ME IN THIS  
MATTER, SINCE THE COURT IS NOT GOING TO CORRECT ERRORS MADE BY  
ONE OF THEIR JUDGES.

I HAVE ENCLOSED THE COURTS RULING AND DATA TO SHOW THE  
FALSE INFORMATION AND LIES SHE WAS SWAYED WITH TO MAKE HER  
DECISION ON AND NEED YOUR INPUT ON THE RULINGS WHICH SHE MADE.

I AM THE DEFENDANT, SO DO FEEL THE RULINGS ARE NOT FAIR, SINCE I  
AM BIASED, **BUT BIASED OR NOT THE RULINGS WERE MADE FROM  
LIES AND FALSE DOCUMENTATION. IS THIS HOW THE ARIZONA  
COURTS OPERATE?**

ON MY MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL I SUBMITTED A BILL FROM THE  
LAWYER FOR SHOWING A MEETING WITH JUDGE  
WHICH I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN AND FEEL SOME OF  
THE RULINGS WERE DECIDED THEN. IS THIS THE WAY ARIZONA COURTS  
OPERATE. (COPY OF BILL ATTACHED) ALSO COPY OF CASE HISTORY  
SHOWING ALL MEETINGS I WAS INVOLVED IN, NO MEETING )

THE JUDGE RULED ON MONEY TO BE GIVEN FROM THE COST OF A VEHICLE WHICH THE LAWYER FILED A FALSE BLUE BOOK VALUE ON WHICH THE JUDGE USED FOR THE VALUE. FILED BLUE BOOK VALUE FOR A 2006 VEHICLE WITH LESS THEN 60 MILES. THE VEHICLE IS A 2005 WITH 32000 MILES. (COPY OF BLUE BOOK INCLUDED) THIS WAS ALSO PRESENTED IN THE REBUTTAL. THE LAWYER WAS ALSO TOLD IN MY DESPOSITION IN AND SHE STILL FILED THE FALSE DOCUMENTATION WHICH THE JUDGE USED TO RULE ON, WHICH IS ALMOST TWICE THE TRUE VALUE. I ASK AGAIN IS THIS HOW OUR COURT SYSTEM OPERATES?

IN HER RULINGS SHE STATES THE SERVANCE PAY I SHOULD PAY HER HALF, THIS WAS SPENT WHILE WE WERE MARRIED, WHEN I RETIRED YEARS AGO AND ALSO LIED ABOUT THE AMOUNT. MONEY WAS SPENT ON A TRIP TO CHINA AND THE REST WAS DEPOSITED IN A JOINT ACCOUNT AND ALSO SPENT.

SHE ALSO AWARDED MONEY FROM THE IRS TO AND THIS WAS ALSO COMMUNITY MONEY.

IN OUR HEARING IT WAS ORDERED THAT WE EXCHANGE DISCOVERIES BY I NEVER RECEIVED A DISCOVERY FROM MS , MY DISCOVERY WAS SENT TO THE COURT AND TO PRIOR TO THE JUDGES DEADLINE OF ALSO AND MYSELF FILED A JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT BY THE DEADLINE . BUT WAS THEN ALLOWED TO FILE ANOTHER PRETRIAL STATEMENT AFTER THE DEADLINE BY HERSELF, WHY?

THE JUDGE ALSO STATED IN HER RULINGS ABOUT MY BUSINESS, WHICH I HAVE NEVER HAD A BUSINESS, BUT MUST HAVE BEEN SWAYED BY COMMENTS DURING THE TRIAL WHICH IS A LIE, BUT THE JUDGE STILL LISTS IT AS IT IS A FACT, IN MY YEARS I HAVE NEVER HAD A BUSINESS! BUT IT IS MENTIONED IN HER RULINGS AS IF I DID, SWAYED BY LIES, WHY IS THIS LIE MENTIONED IN THE COURTS RULING?

IN THE RULING SHE ALSO MAKES A STATEMENT AS IF I RECEIVED MORE INCOME THEN LISTED PER MONTH, HERE AGAIN THE JUDGE MUST HAVE BEEN SWAYED BY THE LAWYERS COMMENT DURING THE TRIAL. ALL DATA CAN BE VERIFIED AS TO MY ACTUAL INCOME, SO WHY WOULD THE JUDGE BE SWAYED AND INCLUDE THIS COMMENT IN HER RULING? BECAUSE THE LAWYER LIED AND SWAYED THE JUDGE WITH NO FACTS OF THE LIE.

IN A HEARING MADE TWO LIES TO THE JUDGE ABOUT THE SALE OF OUR HOME WHICH CAUSED A LARGE LOSS TO US AND THE JUDGE MADE KNOW RULING ON HER LIES. LIES RECORDED FROM HEARING DOCUMENTATION TO PROVE THE LIES FROM LISTING CONTRACT AND APPRASIAL, BUT THIS WAS NOT RULED ON IN ANY WAY.

SHE ALSO SOLD ITEMS (FURNITURE) WHICH THE **COURT ORDER STATED NOT TO ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION FROM THE COURT AGAINST PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT, DATED** AGAIN NO RULING FROM THE JUDGE. SHE ALSO STOLE ITEMS FROM THE HOUSE THESE ITEMS WERE SOLD WITH THE HOUSE, BUT SHE STOLE THE ITEMS. SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE DID IN COURT WHICH IS ON RECORD. NO ACTION FROM THE COURT EXCEPT TO AWARD EVERYTHING.

ALSO HOA FINES SHE CAUSED WHEN I WAS GONE WERE NOT CHARGED TO HER.

ALL OF OUR BELONGINGS WHICH I PURCHASED DURING OUR 50 YEARS OF MARRIAGE WERE AWARDED TO AND FROM THE IRS WAS AWARDED TO AND HALF OF A SERVANCE PAY THIS MONEY WAS SPENT SOON AFTER I RETIRED YEARS AGO, NOW THE JUDGE RULES I SHOULD PAY HALF OF THE AMOUNT AND THE LAWYER ALSO LIED ABOUT THIS AMOUNT. SHE HAS RECEIVED EVERYTHING, HOW IS THIS NOT COMMUNITY PROPERTY? **I DO NOT HAVE ANY MONEY, NOW THAT EVERYTHING WAS AWARDED TO FROM HER RULINGS BASED ON FALSE DOCUMENTATION AND LIES, SO AM UNABLE TO PAY BILLS THAT I HAVE ALSO THE CREDIT CARD COMPANIES FROM COMMUNITY DEBTS ARE THREATING TO TAKE ME TO COURT, THESE SHOULD BE PAID FROM FUNDS FROM ESCROW.**

IT WAS ALSO RULED THAT I PAY HALF THE AMOUNT FOR JEWELER AND TOOLS WHICH WAS ALSO A LIE I NEVER HAD ANY JEWELER OR TOOLS AS I WAS FORCED OUT WITH ONLY MY CLOTHES, MORE LIES.

THE RULING ON STOCK I DO NOT UNDERSTAND, WE INVESTED IN STOCK, BUT OUR FINAL RESULT WAS A LARGE LOSS AND WE NEVER WITHDREW ANY MONEY FROM OUR ACCOUNT, WAS THIS ALSO RULED ON FROM LIES?

**THE JUDGES RULINGS WERE NOT FAIR, BUT REGARDLESS OF NOT BEING FAIR HER RULINGS WERE MADE ON FALSE DOCUMENTS AND LIES BEING SWAYED BY THE LAWYER NOT FACTS,** WHICH I FEEL WERE MADE DURING THEIR PRIVATE MEETING AND WOULD LIKE YOUR OFFICE

TO CHECK THE RULINGS AND THE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS TO VERIFY MY CLAIMS AND HELP ME WITH A NEW TRIAL AND NEW JUDGE OR APPEAL JUDGE RULINGS. I DO NOT FEEL OUR COURTS OPERATE IN THIS MANNER AND DON'T BELIEVE THE PEOPLE OF ARIZONA COULD BELIEVE THE COURTS RULINGS

SO THIS IS A REQUEST THAT THIS INFORMATION BE REVIEWED AND SHOW HOW THE COURT SYSTEM OPERATES. THERE ARE SEVERAL OTHER ITEMS DID THAT I FEEL WERE NOT PROPER, MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS TO HAVE A SUBPOENA SQUASHED AND OTHERS WHICH ARE ON RECORD, BUT ALL ITEMS ON THEIR SIDE WERE NEVER ADDRESSED, WHY?